

FY 2027 EQIP Ranking Questions – Florida

(Not all questions are applicable to an application and assessment.)

1. In the past three years the applicant had a contract terminated.
Answer choices: Yes; No
2. Did the applicant self-certify as a historically underserved (beginning or socially disadvantaged) farmer or rancher on the NRCS CPA-1200, Conservation Program Application?
Answer choices: Yes; No
3. Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer or rancher (VFR)?
Answer choices: Yes; No
4. Did the applicant participate in the CRP Transition Incentives Program (TIP), and land included in the CSP application has come out of CRP within the last two years?
Answer choices: Yes; No
5. Will the schedule of operation achieve a description of the participant's conservation objectives (including a quantitative or qualitative goal), a description of conservation practices or activities, a schedule, and the information needed to evaluate the effectiveness in achieving the conservation objectives? (E.g., CNMP: CPA 102 and DIA 101; CPA 106 and CPA 165)
Answer choices: Yes; No
6. To qualify for the Regenerative Agriculture Pilot Program funding category, do all of the following criteria apply: (1) The whole farm plan will meet Soil and Water resource concerns by the end of the contract on at least one land unit; (2) There is at least one planned primary regenerative practice; (3) CEMA 216 soil health testing will be scheduled at the beginning and end of the contract; and (4) Contract length will be at least 5 years.
Answer choices: Yes; No
7. Did the applicant self-certify as Certified Organic, transitioning to become Certified Organic, or Exempt from Organic Certification on the NRCS-CPA-1200, Conservation Program Application?
Answer choices: Yes; No
8. Will conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs be scheduled in an EQIP contract to include Mulch (484) as synthetic mulch on annually tilled cropland?
Answer choices: Yes; No
9. Conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs will be scheduled in an EQIP contract and include Mulch (CPS 484) using synthetic material, along with either Cover Crop (CPS 340) or Conservation Crop Rotation (CPS 328) for all instances in which mulch is planned.
Answer choices: Yes; No
10. Terrestrial and/or aquatic habitat resource concerns were evaluated in CART assessment and conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs will be scheduled in an EQIP contract to enhance or improve wildlife habitat on NIPF, range, pasture, and/or AAL.
Answer choices: Yes; No
11. Do assessment PLUs intersect a wildlife corridor?
Answer choices: Yes; No
12. Conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs will be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address erosion and include any combination of the following: CPS 362 Diversion; CPS 410



Grade Stabilization Structure; CPS 587 Structure for Water Control; and/or CPS 638 Water and Sediment Basin.

Answer choices: Yes; No

13. Will conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs be scheduled in an EQIP contract to improve irrigation efficiency using at least one of the following practices: CPS 441, CPS 442, CPS 443 (Ebb and Flow), CPS 449, CPS 436, CPS 533, and/or CPS 818?

Answer choices:

- Yes, and conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs will reduce water use for the cropland operation
- Yes, and conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs will reduce surface or groundwater pumping by increasing reuse of captured water used by irrigation or evaporative cooling
- Yes, and conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs will provide a higher conservation benefit by being planned as Advanced IWM with soil moisture sensors
- No applicable answers

14. Will conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs include CPS 528 and/or CPS 314 and/or CPS 315 to support livestock grazing management?

Answer choices: Yes; No

15. Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss and/or field pesticide loss resource concerns were evaluated in CART assessment, and conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs will be scheduled to address water quality.

Answer choices: Yes; No

16. Are assessment PLUs adjacent to a 303(d) listed water body?

Answer choices: Yes; No

17. Are assessment PLUs within a source water protection area?

Answer choices: Yes; No

18. The planned land units (PLUs) included in the application intersect the Longleaf Pine Initiative (LLPI) geodata boundary layer.

Answer choices: Yes; No

19. Do conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs predominantly contribute to the establishment, improvement, or maintenance of longleaf pine ecosystems?

Answer choices: Yes; No

20. Do the PLUs included in the application intersect the WFW Gopher Tortoise (GOTO) geodata layer?

Answer choices: Yes; No

21. Do conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs include at least one applicable LLPI core practice, or complete a conservation system that supports core practices already documented as applied on the land under contract? (LLPI core practices: CPS 338, CPS 612, CPS 643, CPS 666.)

Answer choices: Yes; No

22. Do conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs predominantly contribute to the establishment, improvement, or maintenance of GOTO actions as described in the Gopher Tortoise Biological Opinion and Conference Opinion (BO/CO)?

Answer choices: Yes; No

23. Do conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs include at least one applicable GOTO core practice, or complete a conservation system that supports core practices already



documented as applied on the land under contract? (GOTO core practices: CPS 643, CPS 645, CPS 647.)

Answer choices: Yes; No

24. Do the PLUs included in the application intersect the WLFW Northern Bobwhite Initiative (NBI/NOBO) geodata layer?

Answer choices: Yes; No

25. Do conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs predominantly contribute to the establishment, improvement, or maintenance of NOBO actions as described in the Northern Bobwhite Grasslands & Savannah Framework?

Answer choices: Yes; No

26. Do conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs include at least one applicable NOBO core practice, or complete a conservation system that supports core practices already documented as applied on the land under contract? (NOBO core practices: CPS 338, CPS 314, CPS 386, CPS 420, CPS 512, CPS 528, CPS 550, CPS 643, CPS 645, CPS 647, CPS 649, CPS 666.)

Answer choices: Yes; No

27. Did the applicant self-certify as Certified Organic, transitioning to certified organic, or exempt from organic certification on the NRCS-CPA-1200, and do all organic contracts obligated for the participant during the 2018 Farm Bill period not exceed \$140,000 in aggregate?

Answer choices:

- Yes, and the applicant is transitioning to certified organic and is seeking financial assistance for CAP 138 (Conservation Plan Supporting Transition to Organic).
- Yes, and the applicant is transitioning to certified organic and will implement practices directly tied to an Organic System Plan (OSP).
- Yes, and the applicant is already certified organic, or exempt from organic certification, and will implement practices that improve or expand OSP components.
- If yes and practices do not relate to organic production or OSP development, the planner must deselect the Organic funding category.
- If no, the planner must deselect the Organic funding category.

28. Does this application pertain to land contiguous to a protected area such as a national forest, military base, national wildlife refuge, State forest, heritage reserve, or land protected by a perpetual conservation easement (e.g., WRP, FRPP, GRP, or TNC)?

Answer choices: Yes; No

29. Is the field (acreage) where practice installation will take place located within a State-designated priority county (or counties)?

Answer choices: Automatic (system-determined)

30. Will the offered area connect two or more blocks of longleaf pine?

Answer choices: Yes; No

31. Does this application pertain to land with a forest management plan that includes prescribed burning in an established longleaf pine stand (not for site prep burning), and will CPS 338 be scheduled in an EQIP contract?

Answer choices: Yes; No

32. Habitat Priority: Does the PLU intersect the priority area for conservation (PAC) filename "WLFW_Gopher_Tortoise_PriorityArea_FY21" in the CART geoportal?

Answer choices: Automatic (system-determined)

33. Direct Target Species Benefits (Gopher Tortoise): Based on NRCS confirmation of visual



sighting, database, or species expert documentation, choose one.

Answer choices:

- Assessment PLU is occupied by Gopher Tortoise (GOTO)
- Assessment PLU is not occupied by GOTO

34. Direct Target Species Population Benefits (Gopher Tortoise): Based on applicant self-certification, choose one.

Answer choices:

- Greater than 250 adult Gopher Tortoise on assessment PLUs
- 50–250 adult Gopher Tortoise on assessment PLUs
- 5–50 adult Gopher Tortoise on assessment PLUs
- Less than 5 adult Gopher Tortoise on assessment PLUs

35. Conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs will be scheduled in an EQIP contract to establish or enhance Gopher Tortoise habitat.

Answer choices:

- CPS 338 Prescribed Burning and CPS 394 Firebreak during the growing season (March–September)
- CPS 420 Wildlife Habitat Planting
- CPS 338 Prescribed Burning and CPS 394 Firebreak during any season other than March–September
- CPS 666 Forest Stand Improvement and/or CPS 314 Brush Management
- Conservation practices that exclude livestock as part of a prescribed grazing plan and/or practices that treat invasive species listed on the Category 1 List.
- CPS 612 Tree/Shrub Establishment at a planting rate of less than 500 trees per acre
- CPS 612 Tree/Shrub Establishment at a planting rate of 500–605 trees per acre
- Other practices not identified above

36. Habitat Priority (Northern Bobwhite): Choose the applicable statement.

Answer choices:

- An assessment PLU intersects a secondary priority area identified by the state for bobwhite on private lands specifically managed for northern bobwhite.
- An assessment PLU is within 5 miles of protected lands (state or federal conservation lands, conservation easement, military installation).
- An assessment PLU is planned to support terrestrial wildlife habitat development.

37. Direct Target Species Benefits (Northern Bobwhite): Does any assessment PLU directly benefit NOBO or other declining grasslands wildlife or pollinators? Choose one.

Answer choices:

- Assessment PLU is within 3 miles of any documented terrestrial state or federal T&E or candidate for listing and/or declining bird species (e.g., Bachman's sparrow, prairie warbler, bobolink, eastern or western meadowlark, any shrike species, grassland sparrow, common ground dove, upland sandpiper).
- Assessment PLU is within 5 miles of occupied NOBO site or regularly managed suitable habitat.
- Assessment PLU is or will be more than 90% native grasslands, forbs, or savannas and/or will include milkweed establishment or management.

38. Threats Addressed (Northern Bobwhite): Do the planned practices include actions prioritized to restore native habitat? Choose one.

Answer choices:

- A prescribed burn during the growing season is planned on the PLU.
- A prescribed burn in any season is planned on the PLU and/or pollinator forage plant



- materials plantings are planned.
 - At least one of the following priority conservation practices is planned on the PLU: timber stand improvement or brush management.
 - At least one of the following practices is planned on the PLU: forage and biomass planting using only native seed mixes or range planting using only native seed mixes.
 - At least one practice is planned that provides woody vegetative cover for wildlife use: wildlife habitat planting, hedgerow planting, windbreak/shelterbelt establishment, tree/shrub establishment, or field borders.
 - At least one of the following practices is planned on the PLU: invasive species control or conservation cover.
39. The applicant actively manages pastureland, and the planned practices address the resource concerns identified for this land use.
Answer choices: Yes; No
40. Conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs include installation of a livestock water system to facilitate prescribed grazing management (CPS 382, CPS 516, CPS 533, CPS 561, CPS 614, CPS 642).
Answer choices: Yes; No
41. Will the conservation practices planned on assessment PLUs result in overseeding legumes or establishing winter grazing? Answer choices: Yes; No.
42. Will the planned practices include treatment of invasive species?
Answer choices: Yes; No
43. The applicant manages cropped land, and there are practices scheduled to address identified resource concerns on this land use.
Answer choices: Yes; No
44. Will the applicant implement a cover crop (CPS 340) to improve soil organic matter, reduce compaction, or enhance aggregate stability on cropland fields?
Answer choices: Yes; No
45. Will the planned conservation practices reduce “Inefficient irrigation water use” as identified in CART resource concerns for cropland applications?
Answer choices: Yes; No
46. Will precision scouting or targeted application reduce pesticide use and support Water Quality and Pesticides Transported to Groundwater/Surface Water resource concerns?
Answer choices: Yes; No
47. Is the applicant requesting cost-share assistance to install High Tunnel System (CPS 325)?
Answer choices: Yes; No
48. The applicant actively manages forestland, and the planned practices address the resource concerns identified for this land use.
Answer choices: Yes; No
49. Will the planned conservation practices include implementing a prescribed burn (CPS 338) to reduce hazardous fuel loads and improve stand conditions?
Answer choices: Yes; No
50. Does the application address invasive species impacting forest regeneration, fuel structure, or native plant communities (e.g., Japanese climbing fern, cogongrass)?
Answer choices: Yes; No
51. Will the applicant implement Brush Management (CPS 314) or Forest Stand Improvement (CPS

666) to target invasive or undesirable woody species, improve stand condition, and reduce pest pressure?

Answer choices: Yes; No

52. Will conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU include CPS 325 High Tunnel?

Answer choices: Yes; No

53. Will conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU include CPS 328 inside the structure?

Answer choices: Yes; No

54. Will conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU include CPS 340 inside the structure?

Answer choices: Yes; No

55. Will the planned CPS 325 have additional supporting conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU (excluding 328 and/or 340 planned inside the structure)?

Answer choices: Yes; No

56. Will the planned conservation practices on this assessment PLU improve irrigation efficiency using at least one of the following practices: CPS 441, CPS 442, CPS 443 (Ebb and Flow), CPS 449, CPS 436, CPS 533, CPS 818?

Answer choices: Yes; No

57. Will conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU reduce overall water needs and/or use for the cropland operation?

Answer choices: Yes; No

58. Will conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU establish or expand the reuse of captured water used in an irrigation or evaporative cooling system?

Answer choices: Yes; No

59. Will conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU provide a higher conservation benefit by being planned as Advanced IWM with soil moisture sensors?

Answer choices: Yes; No

60. Will conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU include CPS 595 Individual Protective Covers (IPCs)?

Answer choices: Yes; No

61. Will the CPS 595 IPC conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU be installed in a new full-field re-planting?

Answer choices: Yes; No

62. Will the planned CPS 595 IPC have additional supporting conservation practices planned on this assessment PLU (such as CPS 340, CPS 590, CPS 595, etc.)?

Answer choices: Yes; No

63. The operation currently practices using the following bivalves (to answer both, operation must plant the minimum FDAC-approved amounts).

Answer choices: Oysters; Clams; Both

64. Which statement best describes the operation's current and planned biofouling removal practices?

Answer choices:

- Biofouling removal is not a priority and is not routinely conducted on land.
- Biofouling removal is conducted periodically, either monthly or weekly, but may occur on the boat or in the water; the operation is willing to complete removal on land at least 12



- times per year.
- Biofouling removal is conducted regularly, with the operation willing to complete removal on land at least 26 times per year.
 - Biofouling removal is conducted frequently, with the operation willing to complete removal on land at least 52 times per year or more.
65. Operation has demonstrated storm readiness by:
- Answer choices:
- Having a storm readiness plan with extra material prepared and able to be deployed with 3 days' notice
 - Having a storm readiness plan and extra material will need to be prepared
 - Has no storm readiness plan
66. Will new seeds be planned on the lease in the next 12 months? (Seed on lease at time of application does not count.)
- Answer choices:
- 2 additional plantings
 - 3 additional plantings
 - 4+ additional plantings
67. How effectively does the operation prevent predation and pest impacts on both oyster gear and/or clam beds?
- Answer choices:
- Excellent – The operation uses species-appropriate predator controls (e.g., gear maintenance and securing for oysters; substrate protection, regular inspections for clams). Proactive monitoring identifies early signs of predation, and interventions occur before losses or environmental impacts.
 - Good – Predator and pest controls are in place and mostly effective. Monitoring occurs regularly but may miss early signs of damage. Most issues are corrected promptly, with minimal stock loss.
 - Fair – Predator management is inconsistent. Some protective measures are used, but inspections are irregular or reactive. Stock loss or gear damage occasionally occurs due to preventable predation.
 - Poor – Little to no predator or pest prevention is implemented. The operation frequently experiences losses to predators (e.g., rays on clam bags, crabs or fouling organisms on oyster gear). Monitoring is rare, and environmental/stock impacts are high.
68. Operation manages the possibility of contaminant release by:
- Answer choices:
- Performing regular boat inspections, replacing old/degraded equipment regularly, pulling gear that is not in use from the water, ensuring containments are in place.
 - Performing boat inspections as items become an issue, replacing gear only when issues arise, leaving gear in the water periodically when it's not in use.
 - Rare boat inspections; gear left in water year-round; possibility of contaminants is present, but operation is working toward resolving issues.
 - No inspections occur and no containments are in place.
69. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Baldwin Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?
- Answer choices:
- Yes, Water Quality: Surface Water Depletion
 - Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation



- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Bank erosion from streams, shorelines, or water conveyance channels
- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- No applicable answers

70. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Blountstown Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- No applicable answers

71. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Bonifay Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Soil Health: Soil organism habitat loss or degradation
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss
- No applicable answers

72. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Crestview Field Office boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to groundwater
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution.
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Classic gully erosion
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Sheet and rill erosion
- No applicable answers

73. Are the assessment PLUs located within the DeFuniak Springs Office boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to groundwater
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Classic gully erosion
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Sheet and rill erosion
- No applicable answers

74. Are the assessment PLUs located within the East Palatka Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource



concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- No applicable answers

75. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Gainesville Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Degraded Plant Condition: Plant structure and composition
- Yes, Soil Health: Organic matter depletion
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- No applicable answers

76. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Jay Field Office boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Soil Health: Organic matter depletion
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Sheet and rill erosion
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss
- No applicable answers

77. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Lake City Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Soil Health: Organic matter depletion
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant structure and composition
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to groundwater — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss.
- Yes, Aquatic Habitat: Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms
- No applicable answers

78. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Live Oak Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Soil Health: Organic matter depletion
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Compaction
- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Wind erosion
- No applicable answers

79. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Madison Field Office boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant structure and composition
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- No applicable answers

80. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Marianna Field Office boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- No applicable answers

81. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Molino Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Soil Erosion: Sheet and rill erosion
- Yes, Water Quality: Pesticides transported to surface water
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation.
- No applicable answers

82. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Monticello Field Office boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Aquatic Habitat: Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms
- Yes, Soil Erosion: Bank erosion from streams, shorelines, or water conveyance channels
- No applicable answers

83. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Ocala Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance



- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- No applicable answers

84. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Quincy Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to groundwater — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- No applicable answers

85. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Trenton Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to groundwater
- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- No applicable answers

86. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Bartow Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss
- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- Yes, Soil Health: Organic matter depletion
- No applicable answers

87. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Brooksville Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- No applicable answers

88. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Cocoa Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?



Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Water Quality: Ponding and flooding
- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- No applicable answers

89. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Deland Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Water Quality: Ponding and flooding
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- No applicable answers

90. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Florida City Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Water Quality: Pesticides transported to groundwater
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to groundwater — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss
- No applicable answers

91. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Fort Myers Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant structure and composition
- No applicable answers

92. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Fort Pierce Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Water Quality: Sediment transported to surface water
- No applicable answers

93. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Kissimmee Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- Yes, Wildfire Hazard: Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation
- Yes, Water Quality: Surface water depletion
- No applicable answers

94. Are the assessment PLUs located within the LaBelle Field Office boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Soil Quality Limitation: Organic matter depletion
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Soil Health: Concentration of salts or other chemicals
- No applicable answers

95. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Okeechobee Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water — Storage and handling of pollutants
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- No applicable answers

96. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Plant City Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- No applicable answers

97. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Royal Palm Beach Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Soil Health: Organic matter depletion
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates



- Yes, Water Quality: Sediment transported to surface water
- No applicable answers

98. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Sarasota Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- Yes, Livestock Health: Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality, and distribution
- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- Yes, Water Quality: Groundwater depletion
- No applicable answers

99. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Sebring Field Office boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Soil Health: Organic matter depletion
- Yes, Water Quality: Inefficient irrigation water use
- No applicable answers

100. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Tavares Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Terrestrial Habitat: Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Water Quality: Nutrients transported to surface water — Field sediment, nutrient, and pathogen loss
- Yes, Water Quality: Sediment transported to surface water
- No applicable answers

101. Are the assessment PLUs located within the Wauchula Service Center boundary, and will conservation practices be scheduled in an EQIP contract to address a local priority resource concern?

Answer choices:

- Yes, Plant Health: Plant pest pressure
- Yes, Plant Health: Plant productivity and health
- Yes, Livestock Health: Feed and forage balance
- Yes, Water Quality: Salts transported to surface water
- Yes, Soil Health: Organic matter depletion
- No applicable answers