

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
TA Application	912	00N	TSP Codes
TA Check-Out	913	00N	TSP Codes

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	20	40
Planned Practice Effects	Adjustment (D)	15	15	15
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	60
Program Priorities	Default	5	5	15
Efficiencies	Default	10	10	10

Display Group: AZ EQIP General 2026 (Draft)

 An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: EQIP Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application within Arizona on non-tribal land?	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: EQIP Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points

Section: EQIP Category

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Which of the following categories is the applicant eligible to apply for potential funding under?	Crop	--
	Pasture	--
	Range	--
	Forest	--
	Multiple Land Use	--
	NAQI	--
	Socially Disadvantaged Farmer/ Rancher	--
	Beginning Farmer/ Rancher	--
	Wildlife	--
	Regenerative Pilot Program (RPP)	--
	Reserve 1 (Disaster)	--
	Reserve 2	--
	Reserve 3	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: EQIP Program*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Has this application been previously deferred?	YES	25
	NO	--
Has the applicant had a contract in any NRCS program terminated for reasons within their control in the last two years; OR does the applicant have an existing contract in any NRCS program that has been determined to be in noncompliance for reasons within their control, and is currently under an active NRCS-CPA-153; OR is NRCS aware that the applicant has failed to properly operate and maintain conservation practices or activities that were installed with program financial assistance and are still within their lifespan?	YES	-100
	NO	0
Does the application include state priority resource concerns?	YES	125
	NO	--
Is the application in a Source Water Protection Area?	YES, in Source Water Protection Area. Use SWP Practice Scenarios if applicable.	50
	NO, not in Source Water Protection Area	--

Section: Regenerative Pilot Program*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is soil health testing (CEMA 216) planned in the first and last year of the contract, at a minimum?	YES	40
	NO	--

Section: Regenerative Pilot Program*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
By the end of the contract, will all soil and water resource concerns be at a 'Met' or 'Exceed' threshold in CART?	YES	40
	NO	--
Does the application include a whole farm assessment?	YES	40
	NO	--
Is the contract a minimum of 5 years in length?	YES	40
	NO	--
Will at least one primary regenerative practice be implemented by the end of the contract?	YES	40
	NO	--
Has the applicant had a contract in any NRCS program terminated for reasons within their control in the last two years; OR does the applicant have an existing contract in any NRCS program that has been determined to be in noncompliance for reasons within their control, and is currently under an active NRCS-CPA-153; OR is NRCS aware that the applicant has failed to properly operate and maintain conservation practices or activities that were installed with program financial assistance and are still within their lifespan?	YES	-100
	NO	--

Section: NAQI*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Has the application previously been deferred?	YES	10
	NO	--
Did the applicant self-certify as a historically underserved farmer or rancher on the NRCS-CPA-1200, Conservation Program Application? (Limited Resource Farmer or Rancher, Beginning Farmer or Rancher, Socially Disadvantaged Farmer or Rancher, or Veteran Farmer or Rancher)	YES	20
	NO	--
Does the application include any of the following practices?	YES, only 1	30
	YES, 2 or 3	60
	YES, 4 or more	120
	NO	0
Is the application in a Source Water Protection Area?	YES, in Source Water Protection Area. Use SWP Practice Scenarios if applicable.	50
	NO, not in Source Water Protection Area	--
Has the applicant had a contract in any NRCS program terminated for reasons within their control in the last two years; OR does the applicant have an existing contract in any NRCS program that has been determined to be in noncompliance for reasons within their control, and is currently under an active NRCS-CPA-153; OR is NRCS aware that the applicant has failed to properly operate and maintain conservation practices or activities that were installed with program financial assistance and are still within their lifespan?	YES	-100
	NO	--

Section: Wildlife*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
----------	----------------	--------

Section: Wildlife*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Has the applicant had a contract in any NRCS program terminated for reasons within their control in the last two years; OR does the applicant have an existing contract in any NRCS program that has been determined to be in noncompliance for reasons within their control, and is currently under an active NRCS-CPA-153; OR is NRCS aware that the applicant has failed to properly operate and maintain conservation practices or activities that were installed with program financial assistance and are still within their lifespan?	YES	-100
	NO	--
Does this application include a wildlife management practice?	YES	190
	NO	--
Is the application pollinator focused?	YES	--
	NO	--
Has this application been previously deferred?	YES	10
	NO	--

Section: Socially Disadvantaged Farmer/ Rancher (SDFR)*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Has the application previously been deferred?	YES	10
	NO	--
Is the application in a Source Water Protection Area?	YES, in Source Water Protection Area. Use SWP Practice Scenarios if applicable.	50
	NO, not in Source Water Protection Area	--
Did the applicant participate in the CRP Transition Incentive Program (TIP), and has the land in the EQIP application come out of CRP within the last 2 years?	YES	20
	NO	--
Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer of rancher (VFR)?	YES	20
	NO	--
Has the applicant had a contract in any NRCS program terminated for reasons within their control in the last two years; OR does the applicant have an existing contract in any NRCS program that has been determined to be in noncompliance for reasons within their control, and is currently under an active NRCS-CPA-153; OR is NRCS aware that the applicant has failed to properly operate and maintain conservation practices or activities that were installed with program financial assistance and are still within their lifespan?	YES	-100
	NO	--
Does the application include state priority resource concerns?	YES	100
	NO	--

Section: Beginning Farmer Rancher *

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Has the application previously been deferred?	YES	10
	NO	--

Section: Beginning Farmer Rancher *

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application in a Source Water Protection Area?	YES, in Source Water Protection Area. Use SWP Practice Scenarios if applicable.	50
	NO, not in Source Water Protection Area	--
Does the applicant meet the NRCS definition of a veteran farmer of rancher (VFR)?	YES	20
	NO	--
Did the applicant participate in the CRP Transition Incentive Program (TIP), and has the land in the EQIP application come out of CRP within the last 2 years?	YES	20
	NO	--
Has the applicant had a contract in any NRCS program terminated for reasons within their control in the last two years; OR does the applicant have an existing contract in any NRCS program that has been determined to be in noncompliance for reasons within their control, and is currently under an active NRCS-CPA-153; OR is NRCS aware that the applicant has failed to properly operate and maintain conservation practices or activities that were installed with program financial assistance and are still within their lifespan?	YES	-100
	NO	--
Does the application include state priority resource concerns?	YES	100
	NO	--

Section: Reserve 1 Disaster*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the application include any of the following practices?	YES, only 1	40
	YES, 2 or 3	75
	YES, 4 or more	150
	NO	0
Is the application in a Source Water Protection Area?	SWPA	50
	Otherwise	--
Has the applicant had a contract in any NRCS program terminated for reasons within their control in the last two years; OR does the applicant have an existing contract in any NRCS program that has been determined to be in noncompliance for reasons within their control, and is currently under an active NRCS-CPA-153; OR is NRCS aware that the applicant has failed to properly operate and maintain conservation practices or activities that were installed with program financial assistance and are still within their lifespan?	YES	-100
	NO	--
Did the State Conservationist approve the use of Reserve Category 1 (Disaster)?	YES	200
	NO	--

Section: Reserve 2*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Did the State Conservationist approve the use of Reserve Category 2?	YES	200
	NO	--

Section: Reserve 3*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Did the State Conservationist approve the use of Reserve Category 3?	YES	200
	NO	--

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Teams

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What Team is the application in?	Team 1	--
	Team 3	--
	Team 4	--
	Team 5	--
	Team 6	--
	Team 7	--
	Team 8	--

Section: Team 1 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the proposed project address the resource concern Inefficient irrigation water use?	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to above 95%?	70
	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to 86-95%?	40
	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to 80-85%?	30
	The contracted acres will not address inefficient water use.	0
Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, Cover Crop or Soil Health Testing?	All five (5) listed practice	70
	Three (3) to four (4) listed practices	60
	Two (2) listed practices	40
	One (1) listed practice	30
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
Does the application address Plant Productivity and Health resource concern to quality criteria?	YES	60
	NO	--

Section: Team 1 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
----------	----------------	--------

Section: Team 1 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the proposed project address the resource concern Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution?	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: >75%	50
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 50-75%	35
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 25-49.99%	20
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 10-24.99%	5
What is the cost per acre for adding new reliable water sources to the contracted acres? For the next 3 questions, answer yes to only one. (\$ per acre = Cost of Watering System/Acres Covered)	< \$10 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	50
	\$10 - \$20 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	35
	> \$20 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	20
Will the proposed project address the resource concern- Degraded Plant Condition? Answer yes to only one.	Contracted conservation practices will improve 6 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	50
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 5 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	40
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 4 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	30
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 3 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	20
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 2 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	10
Will the proposed project address the resource concern to planning criteria- Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates?	Contracted conservation practices address 7-8 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	50
	Contracted conservation practices address 5-6 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	45
	Contracted conservation practices address 2-4 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	35
	Contracted conservation practices address <2 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	25

Section: Team 1 Forest *

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the proposed project address the resource concern- Degraded Plant Condition- Wildfire hazard, excessive biomass accumulation?	Will basal area be reduced to less than or equal to 60 sqft/ac	70
	Will basal area be reduced to between 60 and 120 sqft/ac	60
	Will basal area be reduced to greater than or equal to 120 sqft/ac	40
Will the proposed project share a common border with an area previously managed for forest health and wildfire mitigation or with a documented plan for forest health and wildfire mitigation?	YES	60
	NO	--
Will the proposed conservation practice address pest damage (dwarf mistletoe, bark beetle)?	Both are present and will be treated.	70
	One pest is present and will be treated.	50
	Contracted conservation practices will not address pest damage.	0

Section: Team 1 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What combination of land uses are included in the application?	Crop and Range	--
	Crop and Forest	--
	Range and Forest	--
Crop: Will the proposed project address the resource concern Inefficient irrigation water use? *	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to above 95%?	35
	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to 86-95%?	20
	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to 85%?	15
	The contracted acres will not address inefficient water use.	0
Crop: Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, Cover Crop or Soil Health Testing? *	All five (5) listed practice	35
	Three (3) to four (4) listed practices	30
	Two (2) listed practices	20
	One (1) listed practice	15
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
Crop: Does the application address Plant Productivity and Health resource concern to quality criteria?*	YES	30
	NO	--

Section: Team 1 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Range: Will the proposed project address the resource concern Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution? *	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: >75%	25
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 50-75%	17.5
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 25-49.99%	10
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 10-24.99%	2.5
Range: What is the cost per acre for adding new reliable water sources to the contracted acres? For the next 3 questions, answer yes to only one. (\$ per acre = Cost of Watering System/Acres Covered) *	< \$10 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	25
	\$10 - \$20 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	17.5
	> \$20 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	10
Range: Will the proposed project address the resource concern- Degraded Plant Condition? Answer yes to only one.*	Contracted conservation practices will improve 6 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	25
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 5 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	20
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 4 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	15
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 3 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	10
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 2 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	5
Range: Will the proposed project address the resource concern to planning criteria- Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates? *	Contracted conservation practices address 7-8 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	25
	Contracted conservation practices address 5-6 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	22.5
	Contracted conservation practices address 2-4 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	17.5
	Contracted conservation practices address <2 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	12.5

Section: Team 1 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Forest: Will the proposed project address the resource concern- Degraded Plant Condition- Wildfire hazard, excessive biomass accumulation? *	Will basal area be reduced to less than or equal to 60 sqft/ac	35
	Will basal area be reduced to between 60 and 120 sqft/ac	30
	Will basal area be reduced to greater than or equal to 120 sqft/ac	20
Forest: Will the proposed project share a common border with an area previously managed for forest health and wildfire mitigation or with a documented plan for forest health and wildfire mitigation? *	YES	30
	NO	--
Forest: Will the proposed conservation practice address pest damage (dwarf mistletoe, bark beetle)? *	Both are present and will be treated.	35
	One pest is present and will be treated.	25
	Contracted conservation practices will not address pest damage.	0

Section: Team 3 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the proposed project address the resource concern Inefficient irrigation water use?	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to above 95%?	70
	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to 86-95%?	40
	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to 80-85%?	30
	The contracted acres will not address inefficient water use.	0
Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, Cover Crop or Soil Health Testing?	All five (5) listed practice	70
	Three (3) to four (4) listed practices	60
	Two (2) listed practices	40
	One (1) listed practice	30
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
Does the application address Plant Productivity and Health resource concern to quality criteria?	YES	60
	NO	--

Section: Team 3 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
----------	----------------	--------

Section: Team 3 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the proposed project address the resource concern Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution?	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: >75%	50
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 50-75%	35
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 25-49.99%	20
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 10-24.99%	5
What is the cost per acre for adding new reliable water sources to the contracted acres? For the next 3 questions, answer yes to only one. (\$ per acre = Cost of Watering System/Acres Covered)	< \$10 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	50
	\$10 - \$20 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	35
	> \$20 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	20
Will the proposed project address the resource concern- Degraded Plant Condition? Answer yes to only one.	Contracted conservation practices will improve 6 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	50
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 5 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	40
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 4 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	30
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 3 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	20
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 2 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	10
Will the proposed project address the resource concern to planning criteria- Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates?	Contracted conservation practices address 7-8 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	50
	Contracted conservation practices address 5-6 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	45
	Contracted conservation practices address 2-4 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	35
	Contracted conservation practices address <2 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	25

Section: Team 3 Forest*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the proposed project address the resource concern- Degraded Plant Condition- Wildfire hazard, excessive biomass accumulation?	Will basal area be reduced to less than or equal to 60 sqft/ac	70
	Will basal area be reduced to between 60 and 120 sqft/ac	60
	Will basal area be reduced to greater than or equal to 120 sqft/ac	40
Will the proposed project share a common border with an area previously managed for forest health and wildfire mitigation or with a documented plan for forest health and wildfire mitigation?	YES	60
	NO	--
Will the proposed conservation practice address pest damage (dwarf mistletoe, bark beetle)?	Both are present and will be treated.	70
	One pest is present and will be treated.	50
	Contracted conservation practices will not address pest damage.	0

Section: Team 3 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What combination of land uses are included in the application?	Crop and Range	--
	Crop and Forest	--
	Range and Forest	--
Crop: Will the proposed project address the resource concern Inefficient irrigation water use? *	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to above 95%?	35
	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to 86-95%?	20
	On the contracted field, will the planned farm irrigation rating index max percent rating be improved to 85%?	15
	The contracted acres will not address inefficient water use.	0
Crop: Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, Cover Crop or Soil Health Testing? *	All five (5) listed practice	35
	Three (3) to four (4) listed practices	30
	Two (2) listed practices	20
	One (1) listed practice	15
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
Crop: Does the application address Plant Productivity and Health resource concern to quality criteria?*	YES	30
	NO	--

Section: Team 3 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Range: Will the proposed project address the resource concern Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution? *	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: >75%	25
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 50-75%	17.5
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 25-49.99%	10
	On the contracted acres, conservation practices increase the percent of the grazable areas that are within 1.5 miles of permanent reliable water (in relation to existing water sources) by: 10-24.99%	2.5
Range: What is the cost per acre for adding new reliable water sources to the contracted acres? For the next 3 questions, answer yes to only one. (\$ per acre = Cost of Watering System/Acres Covered) *	< \$10 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	25
	\$10 - \$20 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	17.5
	> \$20 per acre of land that will be within 1.5 mi.	10
Range: Will the proposed project address the resource concern- Degraded Plant Condition? Answer yes to only one.*	Contracted conservation practices will improve 6 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	25
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 5 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	20
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 4 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	15
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 3 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	10
	Contracted conservation practices will improve 2 RHA Biotic Integrity factors to < Moderate	5
Range: Will the proposed project address the resource concern to planning criteria- Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates? *	Contracted conservation practices address 7-8 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	25
	Contracted conservation practices address 5-6 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	22.5
	Contracted conservation practices address 2-4 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	17.5
	Contracted conservation practices address <2 available terrestrial wildlife habitat elements to planning criteria	12.5

Section: Team 3 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Forest: Will the proposed project address the resource concern- Degraded Plant Condition- Wildfire hazard, excessive biomass accumulation? *	Will basal area be reduced to less than or equal to 60 sqft/ac	35
	Will basal area be reduced to between 60 and 120 sqft/ac	30
	Will basal area be reduced to greater than or equal to 120 sqft/ac	20
Forest: Will the proposed project share a common border with an area previously managed for forest health and wildfire mitigation or with a documented plan for forest health and wildfire mitigation? *	YES	30
	NO	--
Forest: Will the proposed conservation practice address pest damage (dwarf mistletoe, bark beetle)? *	Both are present and will be treated.	35
	One pest is present and will be treated.	25
	Contracted conservation practices will not address pest damage.	0

Section: Team 4 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will Field Sediment, Nutrient and pathogen loss - Excessive sediments in surface water resource concern be addressed with planned practices; Field border (386), Filter Strip (393) or Cover crop (340) in a field adjacent to a sensitive area (pond, stream, wetland).	YES	50
	NO	--
Will Soil Quality Limitations- Organic Matter Depletion resource concern be addressed with EQIP planned practice Cover Crop (340) to be applied on eligible cropland acres?	YES	35
	NO	--
Will Source Water Depletion - inefficient irrigation water use be addressed with EQIP planned practices: Irrigation Land Leveling (464), Irrigation Pipeline (430) and/or Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface (443), Sprinkler system (442), micro irrigation (441) to be completed on the eligible cropland acres on the Tract(s)?	YES	35
	NO	--
Will the planned practices increase irrigation efficiency?	The application will increase irrigation efficiency <10%	10
	The application will increase irrigation efficiency 10-20%	20
	The application will increase irrigation efficiency >20%	45
Does the assessment address Wind and Water Erosion by including planned practices that convert at least 5% of the offered acres from annual cropland to permanent vegetation utilizing wildlife friendly or native species?	YES	35
	NO	--

Section: Team 4 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the proposed project include implementation of a prescribed grazing plan (528)?	YES	50
	NO	--
Will the proposed project complement or connect existing conservation practices in the area of concern?	YES	35
	NO	--
Will brush management (314) be applied on the application acres where woody species have been determined to be invasive per the ESD?	YES	35
	NO	--

Section: Team 4 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the proposed project address landscape level wildlife concerns such as improving wildlife habitat connectivity, noxious/invasive species listed on the Arizona NRCS List of Noxious/Invasive Species, or improve water access for wildlife?	YES	40
	NO	--
Will the proposed project address livestock water development by distributing reliable water sources that will facilitate a grazing system?	YES	40
	NO	--

Section: Team 4 Pasture*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health resource concern be addressed with planned practice Prescribed Grazing (528)?	YES	50
	NO	--
Does the proposed project address a wildlife resource concern such as pollinator habitat, shelter or food source?	YES	35
	NO	--
Will Livestock Production Limitation - Inadequate Feed and Forage be addressed by including planned practice Forage and Biomass Planting (512) inter-seeding legumes on 25% of the eligible pastureland acres?	YES	35
	NO	--
Will Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health resource concern be addressed and will the EQIP planned practice Access Control (472) be utilized on at least one sensitive area (i.e. stream, spring, wetlands, or water bodies) to exclude livestock within the pastureland acres where livestock currently have access?	YES	35
	NO	--
Will the planned practices increase irrigation efficiency?	The application will increase irrigation efficiency <10%	10
	The application will increase irrigation efficiency 10-20%	20
	The application will increase irrigation efficiency >20%	45

Section: Team 4 Forest*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will Forest Stand Improvement (666) be applied on at least 25% of the offered acres (as recommend in an existing Forest Management Plan or Forest Stewardship Plan)?	YES	75
	NO	--
Will the proposed practices address wildfire hazard and excessive biomass accumulation using prescribed fire (as recommend in an existing Forest Management Plan or Forest Stewardship Plan) (338)?	YES	35
	NO	--
Is the area to be treated located within 500 feet of a 303D listed stream?	YES	25
	NO	--
Will Brush Management (314) and/or Herbaceous Weed Control (315) practice be applied to specifically address invasive/noxious/prohibited plants, such as non-native salt cedar, Russian olive, camelthorn, knapweed, etc. on the offered acres where these species occur (as recommend in an existing Forest Management Plan or Forest Stewardship Plan)?	YES	25
	NO	--
Does the proposed project complement existing work from private, municipal, county, state, and/or federal cooperators?	YES	25
	NO	--

Section: Team 4 Forest*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practice be implemented on the offered planning land unit to provide beneficial habitat for native pollinators?	YES	15
	NO	--

Section: Team 4 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What combination of land uses are included in the application?	Crop and Range	--
	Crop and Pasture	--
	Crop and Forest	--
	Range and Pasture	--
	Range and Forest	--
	Forest and Pasture	--
Crop: Will Field Sediment, Nutrient and pathogen loss - Excessive sediments in surface water resource concern be addressed with planned practices; Field border (386), Filter Strip (393) or Cover crop (340) in a field adjacent to a sensitive area (pond, stream, wetland).*	YES	25
	NO	--
Crop: Will Soil Quality Limitations- Organic Matter Depletion resource concern be addressed with EQIP planned practice Cover Crop (340) to be applied on eligible cropland acres?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Crop: Will Source Water Depletion - inefficient irrigation water use be addressed with EQIP planned practices: Irrigation Land Leveling (464), Irrigation Pipeline (430) and/or Irrigation System Surface and Subsurface (443), Sprinkler system (442), micro irrigation (441) to be completed on the eligible cropland acres on the Tract(s)?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Crop: Will the planned practices increase irrigation efficiency? *	The application will increase irrigation efficiency <10%	5
	The application will increase irrigation efficiency 10-20%	10
	The application will increase irrigation efficiency >20%	22.5
Crop: Does the assessment address Wind and Water Erosion by including planned practices that convert at least 5% of the offered acres from annual cropland to permanent vegetation utilizing wildlife friendly or native species?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Range: Will the proposed project include implementation of a prescribed grazing plan (528)? *	YES	25
	NO	--
Range: Will the proposed project complement or connect existing conservation practices in the area of concern? *	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Range: Will brush management (314) be applied on the application acres where woody species have been determined to be invasive per the ESD? *	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Range: Will the proposed project address landscape level wildlife concerns such as improving wildlife habitat connectivity, noxious/invasive species listed on the Arizona NRCS List of Noxious/Invasive Species, or improve water access for wildlife? *	YES	20
	NO	--
Range: Will the proposed project address livestock water development by distributing reliable water sources that will facilitate a grazing system? *	YES	20
	NO	--

Section: Team 4 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Pasture: Will Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health resource concern be addressed with planned practice Prescribed Grazing (528)? *	YES	25
	NO	--
Pasture: Does the proposed project address a wildlife resource concern such as pollinator habitat, shelter or food source?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Pasture: Will Livestock Production Limitation - Inadequate Feed and Forage be addressed by including planned practice Forage and Biomass Planting (512) inter-seeding legumes on 25% of the eligible pastureland acres?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Pasture: Will Degraded Plant Condition - Undesirable Plant Productivity and Health resource concern be addressed and will the EQIP planned practice Access Control (472) be utilized on at least one sensitive area (i.e. stream, spring, wetlands, or water bodies) to exclude livestock within the pastureland acres where livestock currently have access? *	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Pasture: Will the planned practices increase irrigation efficiency?*	The application will increase irrigation efficiency <10%	5
	The application will increase irrigation efficiency 10-20%	10
	The application will increase irrigation efficiency >20%	22.5
Forest: Will Forest Stand Improvement (666) be applied on at least 25% of the offered acres (as recommend in an existing Forest Management Plan or Forest Stewardship Plan)?*	YES	37.5
	NO	--
Forest: Will the proposed practices address wildfire hazard and excessive biomass accumulation using prescribed fire (as recommend in an existing Forest Management Plan or Forest Stewardship Plan) (338)?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Forest: Is the area to be treated located within 500 feet of a 303D listed stream?*	YES	12.5
	NO	--
Forest: Will Brush Management (314) and/or Herbaceous Weed Control (315) practice be applied to specifically address invasive/noxious/prohibited plants, such as non-native salt cedar, Russian olive, cameltorn, knapweed, etc. on the offered acres where these species occur (as recommend in an existing Forest Management Plan or Forest Stewardship Plan)? *	YES	12.5
	NO	--
Forest: Does the proposed project complement existing work from private, municipal, county, state, and/or federal cooperators? *	YES	12.5
	NO	--
Forest: Inadequate Habitat: Will at least one planned practice be implemented on the offered planning land unit to provide beneficial habitat for native pollinators? *	YES	7.5
	NO	--

Section: Team 5 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will system efficiency of irrigation system be improved by greater than 20%?	YES	70
	NO	--
Will a nutrient management and/or pest management plan be implemented?	YES	65
	NO	--

Section: Team 5 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will practices that address soil quality be implemented with application (e.g. Cover Crop, Irrigation Water Management, Mulching, Residue and Tillage Management etc.)?	YES	65
	NO	--

Section: Team 5 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the application create a reliable network of waters, within PLU?	YES	75
	NO	--
Will the proposed contract include implementation of a grazing management plan?	YES	75
	NO	--
Will the project entail renewable energy sources vs. traditional sources?	YES	50
	NO	--

Section: Team 5 Pasture*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the application create a reliable network of waters, within PLU?	YES	75
	NO	--
Will the proposed contract include implementation of a grazing management plan?	YES	75
	NO	--
Will the project entail renewable energy sources vs. traditional sources?	YES	50
	NO	--

Section: Team 5 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What combination of land uses are included in the application?	Crop and Range	--
	Crop and Pasture	--
	Range and Pasture	--
Crop: Will system efficiency of irrigation system be improved by greater than 20%?*	YES	35
	NO	--
Crop: Will a nutrient management and/or pest management plan be implemented?*	YES	32.5
	NO	--
Crop: Will practices that address soil quality be implemented with application (e.g. Cover Crop, Irrigation Water Management, Mulching, Residue and Tillage Management etc.)?*	YES	32.5
	NO	--
Range: Will the application create a reliable network of waters, within PLU?*	YES	37.5
	NO	--
Range: Will the proposed contract include implementation of a grazing management plan?*	YES	37.5
	NO	--

Section: Team 5 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Range: Will the project entail renewable energy sources vs. traditional sources?*	YES	25
	NO	--
Pasture: Will the application create a reliable network of waters, within PLU?*	YES	37.5
	NO	--
Pasture: Will the proposed contract include implementation of a grazing management plan?*	YES	37.5
	NO	--
Pasture: Will the project entail renewable energy sources vs. traditional sources?*	YES	25
	NO	--

Section: Team 6 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:	<10%	0
	10-15%	10
	15-20%	20
	>20%	40
Will the conservation treatment incorporate irrigation water management with soil moisture sensors and have an annual water savings of 20% or greater from an irrigation system that will be installed as part of the treatment, or from an irrigation system that has been installed within the previous 5 years?	YES	40
	NO	--
Will the conservation treatment incorporate IWM with soil moisture sensors and have an annual water savings of 15% - 19% from an irrigation system that will be installed as part of the treatment, or from an irrigation system that has been installed within the previous 5 years?	YES	40
	NO	--
Will the practices planned for EQIP include new water management tools such as Variable Speed Pump controllers, automated drip system or pivot controls, moisture sensors, flow controls, or similar technology?	YES	40
	NO	--
The application will address how many resource concerns?	1 Resource Concern	20
	2 to 3 Resource Concerns	30
	3 or more Resource Concerns	40

Section: Team 6 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The application will address how many resource concerns?	1 Resource Concern	20
	2 to 3 Resource Concerns	30
	More than 3 Resource Concerns	40
Will the application improve water availability to both livestock and wildlife?	YES	40
	NO	--

Section: Team 6 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the application include fencing to control cattle and livestock movement and access the active water?	YES	40
	NO	--
Does the application include practices to address both productivity and health and soil organic matter resource concerns?	YES	40
	NO	--
Does the application include a grazing plan that reduces the risk of wildfire and still support livestock grazing?	YES	40
	NO	--

Section: Team 6 Pasture*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The application will increase irrigated pasture efficiency by:	<10%	0
	10-15%	10
	15-20%	20
	>20%	40
Will the conservation treatment incorporate IWM with soil moisture sensors and have an annual water savings of 20% or greater from an irrigation system to be installed as part of the treatment, or from an irrigation system that has been installed within the previous 5 years?	YES	40
	NO	--
Will the conservation treatment incorporate IWM with soil moisture sensors and have an annual water savings of 15% - 19% from an irrigation system to be installed as part of the treatment, or from an irrigation system installed within the previous 5 years?	YES	40
	NO	--
Will the practice planned for EQIP include new water management tools such as Variable Speed pump controllers, automated drip system or pivot controls, moisture sensors, flow controls, or similar devices?	YES	40
	NO	--
The application will address how many resource concerns?	1 Resource Concern	20
	2-3 Resource Concerns	30
	More than 3 Resource Concerns	40

Section: Team 6 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What combination of land uses are included in the application?	Crop and Range	--
	Crop and Pasture	--
	Range and Pasture	--
Crop: The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:*	<10%	0
	10-15%	5
	15-20%	10
	>20%	20
Crop: Will the conservation treatment incorporate irrigation water management with soil moisture sensors and have an annual water savings of 20% or greater from an irrigation system that will be installed as part of the treatment, or from an irrigation system that has been installed within the previous 5 years?*	YES	20
	NO	--

Section: Team 6 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Crop: Will the conservation treatment incorporate IWM with soil moisture sensors and have an annual water savings of 15% - 19% from an irrigation system that will be installed as part of the treatment, or from an irrigation system that has been installed within the previous 5 years?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Crop: Will the practices planned for EQIP include new water management tools such as Variable Speed Pump controllers, automated drip system or pivot controls, moisture sensors, flow controls, or similar technology?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Crop: The application will address how many resource concerns?*	1 Resource Concern	10
	2 to 3 Resource Concerns	15
	3 or more Resource Concerns	20
Range: The application will address how many resource concerns?*	1 Resource Concern	10
	2 to 3 Resource Concerns	15
	More than 3 Resource Concerns	20
Range: Will the application improve water availability to both livestock and wildlife?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Range: Does the application include fencing to control cattle and livestock movement and access the active water?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Range: Does the application include practices to address both productivity and health and soil organic matter resource concerns?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Range: Does the application include a grazing plan that reduces the risk of wildfire and still support livestock grazing?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Pasture: The application will increase irrigated pasture efficiency by:*	<10%	0
	10-15%	5
	15-20%	10
	>20%	20
Pasture: Will the conservation treatment incorporate IWM with soil moisture sensors and have an annual water savings of 20% or greater from an irrigation system to be installed as part of the treatment, or from an irrigation system that has been installed within the previous 5 years?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Pasture: Will the conservation treatment incorporate IWM with soil moisture sensors and have an annual water savings of 15% - 19% from an irrigation system to be installed as part of the treatment, or from an irrigation system installed within the previous 5 years?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Pasture: Will the practice planned for EQIP include new water management tools such as Variable Speed pump controllers, automated drip system or pivot controls, moisture sensors, flow controls, or similar devices?*	YES	20
	NO	--
Pasture: The application will address how many resource concerns?*	1 Resource Concern	10
	2-3 Resource Concerns	15
	More than 3 Resource Concerns	20

Section: Team 7 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:	Less than 10%	15
	10%-15%	25
	15.1%-19%	50
	19.1% or greater	70
Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, or Soil Health Testing?	All four (4) listed practices	65
	Three (3) listed practices	55
	Two (2) listed practices	45
	One (1) listed practice	35
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
How many Local Work Group identified resource concerns does the proposed project address? Source Water Depletion, Inefficient use of Irrigation Water, Erosion (wind & water), Organic Matter Depletion.	Project addresses four (4) identified resource concerns	65
	Project addresses three (3) identified resource concerns	55
	Project addresses two (2) identified resource concerns	45
	Project addresses one (1) identified resource concerns	35
	Project DOES NOT address LWG identified resource concerns	0

Section: Team 7 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will this application include the implementation of grazing management, livestock water systems, fences, or brush management?	Project includes all four items	70
	Project includes three items	60
	Project includes two items	50
	Project includes one item	40
	Proposed project does not include any of the listed items	0
Will the proposed project address a specific noxious/invasive species identified by the Local Work Group (mesquite, creosote, whitethorn) or is listed on the Arizona Noxious/Invasive species list?	YES	35
	NO	--
Are the proposed practices to be implemented part of an approved NRCS RMS Conservation Plan (as opposed to a progressive plan)?	YES	35
	NO	--

Section: Team 7 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
How many of the five locally identified resource concerns will the proposed project address?	Project addresses all five (5) listed resource concerns	60
	Project addresses four (4) listed resource concerns	50
	Project addresses three (3) listed resource concerns	40
	Project addresses two (2) listed resource concerns	30
	Project addresses one (1) listed resource concern	20
	The proposed project DOES NOT address any of the listed resource concerns	0

Section: Team 7 Pasture*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:	Less than 10%	15
	10%-15%	25
	15.1%-19%	50
	19.1% or greater	70
Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, or Soil Health Testing?	All four (4) listed practices	65
	Three (3) listed practices	55
	Two (2) listed practices	45
	One (1) listed practice	35
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
How many Local Work Group identified resource concerns does the proposed project address? Source Water Depletion, Inefficient use of Irrigation Water, Erosion (wind & water), Organic Matter Depletion.	Project addresses four (4) identified resource concerns	65
	Project addresses three (3) identified resource concerns	55
	Project addresses two (2) identified resource concerns	45
	Project addresses one (1) identified resource concerns	35
	Project DOES NOT address LWG identified resource concerns	0

Section: Team 7 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What combination of land uses are included in the application?	Crop and Range	--
	Crop and Pasture	--
	Range and Pasture	--

Section: Team 7 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Crop: The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:*	Less than 10%	7.5
	10%-15%	12.5
	15.1%-19%	25
	19.1% or greater	35
Crop: Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, or Soil Health Testing? *	All four (4) listed practices	32.5
	Three (3) listed practices	27.5
	Two (2) listed practices	22.5
	One (1) listed practice	17.5
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
Crop: How many Local Work Group identified resource concerns does the proposed project address? Source Water Depletion, Inefficient use of Irrigation Water, Erosion (wind & water), Organic Matter Depletion. *	Project addresses four (4) identified resource concerns	32.5
	Project addresses three (3) identified resource concerns	27.5
	Project addresses two (2) identified resource concerns	22.5
	Project addresses one (1) identified resource concerns	17.5
	Project DOES NOT address LWG identified resource concerns	0
Range: Will this application include the implementation of grazing management, livestock water systems, fences, or brush management?*	Project includes all four items	35
	Project includes three items	30
	Project includes two items	25
	Project includes one item	20
	Proposed project does not include any of the listed items	0
Range: Will the proposed project address a specific noxious/invasive species identified by the Local Work Group (mesquite, creosote, whitethorn) or is listed on the Arizona Noxious/Invasive species list?*	YES	17
	NO	--
Range: Are the proposed practices to be implemented part of an approved NRCS RMS Conservation Plan (as opposed to a progressive plan)?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Range: How many of the five locally identified resource concerns will the proposed project address?*	Project addresses all five (5) listed resource concerns	30
	Project addresses four (4) listed resource concerns	25
	Project addresses three (3) listed resource concerns	20
	Project addresses two (2) listed resource concerns	15
	Project addresses one (1) listed resource concern	10
	The proposed project DOES NOT address any of the listed resource concerns	0

Section: Team 7 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Pasture: The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:*	Less than 10%	7.5
	10%-15%	12.5
	15.1%-19%	25
	19.1% or greater	35
Pasture: Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, or Soil Health Testing? *	All four (4) listed practices	32.5
	Three (3) listed practices	27.5
	Two (2) listed practices	22.5
	One (1) listed practice	17.5
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
Pasture: How many Local Work Group identified resource concerns does the proposed project address? Source Water Depletion, Inefficient use of Irrigation Water, Erosion (wind & water), Organic Matter Depletion. *	Project addresses four (4) identified resource concerns	32.5
	Project addresses three (3) identified resource concerns	27.5
	Project addresses two (2) identified resource concerns	22.5
	Project addresses one (1) identified resource concerns	17.5
	Project DOES NOT address LWG identified resource concerns	0

Section: Team 8 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:	Less than 10%	15
	10%-15%	25
	15.1%-19%	50
	19.1% or greater	70
Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, or Soil Health Testing?	All four (4) listed practices	65
	Three (3) listed practices	55
	Two (2) listed practices	45
	One (1) listed practice	35
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0

Section: Team 8 Crop*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
How many Local Work Group identified resource concerns does the proposed project address? Source Water Depletion, Inefficient use of Irrigation Water, Erosion (wind & water), Organic Matter Depletion.	Project addresses four (4) identified resource concerns	65
	Project addresses three (3) identified resource concerns	55
	Project addresses two (2) identified resource concerns	45
	Project addresses one (1) identified resource concerns	35
	Project DOES NOT address LWG identified resource concerns	0

Section: Team 8 Range*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will this application include the implementation of grazing management, livestock water systems, fences, or brush management?	Project includes all four items	70
	Project includes three items	60
	Project includes two items	50
	Project includes one item	40
	Proposed project does not include any of the listed items	0
Will the proposed project address a specific noxious/invasive species identified by the Local Work Group (mesquite, creosote, whitethorn) or is listed on the Arizona Noxious/Invasive species list?	YES	35
	NO	--
Are the proposed practices to be implemented part of an approved NRCS RMS Conservation Plan (as opposed to a progressive plan)?	YES	35
	NO	--
How many of the five locally identified resource concerns will the proposed project address?	Project addresses all five (5) listed resource concerns	60
	Project addresses four (4) listed resource concerns	50
	Project addresses three (3) listed resource concerns	40
	Project addresses two (2) listed resource concerns	30
	Project addresses one (1) listed resource concern	20
	The proposed project DOES NOT address any of the listed resource concerns	0

Section: Team 8 Pasture*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:	Less than 10%	15
	10%-15%	25
	15.1%-19%	50
	19.1% or greater	70

Section: Team 8 Pasture*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, or Soil Health Testing?	All four (4) listed practices	65
	Three (3) listed practices	55
	Two (2) listed practices	45
	One (1) listed practice	35
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
How many Local Work Group identified resource concerns does the proposed project address? Source Water Depletion, Inefficient use of Irrigation Water, Erosion (wind & water), Organic Matter Depletion.	Project addresses four (4) identified resource concerns	65
	Project addresses three (3) identified resource concerns	55
	Project addresses two (2) identified resource concerns	45
	Project addresses one (1) identified resource concerns	35
	Project DOES NOT address LWG identified resource concerns	0

Section: Team 8 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
What combination of land uses are included in the application?	Crop and Range	--
	Crop and Pasture	--
	Range and Pasture	--
Crop: The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:*	Less than 10%	7.5
	10%-15%	12.5
	15.1%-19%	25
	19.1% or greater	35
Crop: Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, or Soil Health Testing? *	All four (4) listed practices	32.5
	Three (3) listed practices	27.5
	Two (2) listed practices	22.5
	One (1) listed practice	17.5
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
Crop: How many Local Work Group identified resource concerns does the proposed project address? Source Water Depletion, Inefficient use of Irrigation Water, Erosion (wind & water), Organic Matter Depletion. *	Project addresses four (4) identified resource concerns	32.5
	Project addresses three (3) identified resource concerns	27.5
	Project addresses two (2) identified resource concerns	22.5
	Project addresses one (1) identified resource concerns	17.5
	Project DOES NOT address LWG identified resource concerns	0

Section: Team 8 Multiple LU*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Range: Will this application include the implementation of grazing management, livestock water systems, fences, or brush management?*	Project includes all four items	35
	Project includes three items	30
	Project includes two items	25
	Project includes one item	20
	Proposed project does not include any of the listed items	0
Range: Will the proposed project address a specific noxious/invasive species identified by the Local Work Group (mesquite, creosote, whitethorn) or is listed on the Arizona Noxious/Invasive species list?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Range: Are the proposed practices to be implemented part of an approved NRCS RMS Conservation Plan (as opposed to a progressive plan)?*	YES	17.5
	NO	--
Range: How many of the five locally identified resource concerns will the proposed project address?*	Project addresses all five (5) listed resource concerns	30
	Project addresses four (4) listed resource concerns	25
	Project addresses three (3) listed resource concerns	20
	Project addresses two (2) listed resource concerns	15
	Project addresses one (1) listed resource concern	10
	The proposed project DOES NOT address any of the listed resource concerns	0
Pasture: The application will increase irrigation efficiency by:*	Less than 10%	7.5
	10%-15%	12.5
	15.1%-19%	25
	19.1% or greater	35
Pasture: Does the applicant currently implement or plan to implement under the proposed project, any of the following practices: Nutrient Management, Pest Management, Irrigation Water Management, or Soil Health Testing? *	All four (4) listed practices	32.5
	Three (3) listed practices	27.5
	Two (2) listed practices	22.5
	One (1) listed practice	17.5
	Applicant DOES NOT plan to implement and is not currently implementing any of the listed practices.	0
Pasture: How many Local Work Group identified resource concerns does the proposed project address? Source Water Depletion, Inefficient use of Irrigation Water, Erosion (wind & water), Organic Matter Depletion. *	Project addresses four (4) identified resource concerns	32.5
	Project addresses three (3) identified resource concerns	27.5
	Project addresses two (2) identified resource concerns	22.5
	Project addresses one (1) identified resource concerns	17.5
	Project DOES NOT address LWG identified resource concerns	0

Section: Socially Disadvantaged*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will practices be implemented that address specific causes of ground or surface water impairment relating to excessive nutrients?	YES	50
	NO	--
Will practices be implemented that address the conservation of water and declining aquifers, and mitigate drought?	YES	50
	NO	--
How many state priority resource concerns will be addressed in this application?	None	0
	Only 1	25
	2 or 3	50
	4 or more	100

Section: Beginning Farmer Rancher *

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will practices be implemented that address specific causes of ground or surface water impairment relating to excessive nutrients?	YES	50
	NO	--
Will practices be implemented that address the conservation of water and declining aquifers, and mitigate drought?	YES	50
	NO	--
How many state priority resource concerns will be addressed in this application?	None	0
	Only 1	25
	2 or 3	50
	4 or more	100

Section: Wildlife*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does this project intersect a riparian area and improve riparian habitat for wildlife?	YES	45
	NO	--
Does the proposed areas occur within or directly adjacent to a designated AZGFD Conservation Opportunity Area?	YES	35
	NO	--
Wildlife: Does this project improve connectivity for wildlife in Arizona Game & Fish designated wildlife corridors?	YES	24
	NO	--
Wildlife: Does this project include (500) obstruction removal for fence?	YES	24
	NO	--
Wildlife: Does the project include installation of virtual or wildlife friendly fencing?	Virtual Fencing	24
	Wildlife Friendly Fencing	12
	No Fence Planned	0

Section: Wildlife*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Wildlife: Will the proposed project address the resource concern-Inadequate Habitat for Fish and Wildlife? For the next 3 questions, answer yes to only one.	Contracted conservation practices address available terrestrial wildlife habitat to improve the WHEG score by: >0.3 (50 pts)	24
	Contracted conservation practices address available terrestrial wildlife habitat to improve the WHEG score by: 0.2 to 0.3 (35 pts)	16
	Contracted conservation practices address available terrestrial wildlife habitat to improve the WHEG score by: <0.2 (20 pts)	8
	Contracted conservation practices will not address available terrestrial wildlife habitat.	0
Wildlife: Will the project include managing watering facilities to be left on for wildlife to access when livestock are not in the pastures?	YES	24
	NO	--

Section: Wildlife Pollinator*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does this project intersect a riparian area and improve riparian habitat for wildlife?	YES	45
	NO	--
Does the proposed areas occur within or directly adjacent to a designated AZGFD Conservation Opportunity Area?	YES	35
	NO	--
Pollinator: Will at least one planned practice be implemented on the offered planning land unit to provide beneficial habitat for native pollinators?	YES	30
	NO	--
Pollinator: Will proposed treatment increase pollinator habitat?	Will treatment improve WHEG pesticide score?	30
	Will treatment improve WHEG food score?	15
	Will treatment improve WHEG cover score?	15
	No	--
Pollinator: Will the planned treatment create or improve 0.5 or more acres of pollinator habitat?	Greater than 2 acres	30
	.5 acres to 2 acres	15
	Less than 0.5 acres	0
Pollinator: Will planned treatment reduce pesticide use or drift?	YES	30
	NO	--

Section: NAQI*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the application result in annual Nox reductions greater than 1 ton per year?	YES	25
	NO	--
Will the application result in on-farm PM10 reductions greater than 75% from existing conditions?	YES	25
	NO	--

Section: NAQI*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Will the application result in the implementation of 2 or more planned conservation practices on at least 75% of the offered acres?	YES	50
	NO	--
Select the appropriate county location to designate if the application is located in a PM10 nonattainment or maintenance area, with regard to the EPA NAAQS PM10 designation for the area.	Maricopa	25
	Pinal	25
	Cochise	15
	Gila	15
	Pima	15
	Santa Cruz	15
	Yuma	15
	Otherwise	--
Choose the most appropriate response regarding the location of the project with regard to EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) designations for the area.	EQIP project is located in a county that has an EPA NAAQS non-attainment designation for PM2.5, PM10 and Ozone (all 3) - Pinal	25
	EQIP project is located in a county that has an EPA NAAQS non-attainment designation for PM2.5, PM10 and Ozone (all 3) - Maricopa	25
	EQIP project is located in a county that has an EPA NAAQS non-attainment designation for 2 out of these pollutants (PM2.5, PM10 and Ozone). - Gila	15
	EQIP project is located in a county that has an EPA NAAQS non-attainment designation for 2 out of these pollutants (PM2.5, PM10 and Ozone). - Yuma	15
	EQIP project is located in a county that has an EPA NAAQS non-attainment designation for 1 out of 3 of these pollutants (PM2.5, PM10 and Ozone) - Mohave	5
	EQIP project is located in a county that has an EPA NAAQS non-attainment designation for 1 out of 3 of these pollutants (PM2.5, PM10 and Ozone) - Pima	5
	EQIP project is located in a county that has an EPA NAAQS non-attainment designation for 1 out of 3 of these pollutants (PM2.5, PM10 and Ozone) - Cochise	5
	EQIP project is located in a county that has an EPA NAAQS non-attainment designation for 1 out of 3 of these pollutants (PM2.5, PM10 and Ozone) - Santa Cruz	5
	Otherwise	--

Section: NAQI*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Choose the most appropriate response below regarding the potential for the EQIP application to replace existing diesel engines used on farm	The EQIP project results in replacement of one or more existing diesel engine(s) with energy efficient electric motor(s).	25
	The EQIP project results in replacement of one existing pre-1980 diesel engine with the most current Tier-level diesel engine.	15
	The EQIP project results in replacement of one existing 1980 or newer diesel engine with the most current Tier-level diesel engine.	5
	Otherwise/Not Applicable	--
If the EQIP application includes irrigation system improvements, please answer all of the following questions	Irrigation runoff will be less than 20% and the potential for Irrigation Induced Erosion will be reduced to Low or Very Low from Moderate or greater	25
	More than three practices will be implemented that include crop rotation, cover crops, residue and tillage management practices, nutrient management, pest management, pollinator plantings, and irrigation water management	15
	The proposed project will include a flow measuring device and irrigation water management.	5
	Otherwise/Not Applicable	--

Section: Regenerative Pilot Program*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
----------	----------------	--------

Section: Regenerative Pilot Program*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Select all PLANNED primary regenerative management practices.	Conservation Crop Rotation (328)	5
	Contour Farming (330)	5
	Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crop (331)	5
	Cover Crop (340)	5
	Drainage Water Management (554)	5
	Forage Harvest Management (511)	5
	Forest Stand Improvement (666)	5
	Irrigation Water Management (449)	5
	Mulching (484)	5
	Nutrient Management (590)	5
	Pest Management Conservation System (595)	5
	Grazing Management (528)	5
	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till (329)	5
	Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced (345)	5
	Strip-cropping (585)	5
	No primary regenerative management practices	0
Regenerative Pilot Program Primary practices with one year lifespan are...	Planned for three or more years of the contract period	50
	Planned for two years of the contract period	25
	Planned for one year of the contract period	15
	No applicable option	--
Producer is willing to implement new conservation practices across the whole-farm to address all soil and water resources categories and at least..	4 or more additional resource concern categories	75
	2 to 3 additional resource concern categories	50
	1 or less additional resource concern categories	25

Section: Reserve 1 (Disaster)*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Are all practices scheduled for implementation within 18 months of obligation?	YES	100
	NO	-50
Does the assessed practice schedule include destroyed structural practices (within their lifespan) that were part of a previous completed EQIP Contract?	YES	100
	NO	0

Section: Reserve 2*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Are all practices scheduled for implementation within 18 months of obligation?	YES	100
	NO	-50
Does the assessed practice schedule include destroyed structural practices (within their lifespan) that were part of a previous completed EQIP Contract?	YES	100
	NO	0

Section: Reserve 3*

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Are all practices scheduled for implementation within 18 months of obligation?	YES	100
	NO	-50
Does the assessed practice schedule include destroyed structural practices (within their lifespan) that were part of a previous completed EQIP Contract?	YES	100
	NO	0

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------