Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool	ACEP-WRE-Florida-FY2026				
Program	ACEP-WRE	**Pool Status**	Active	**Tags**	
Template	ACEP-WRE	**Template Status**	Active	**Existing Practice Included**	No
Last Modified By	Jay Kuipers	**Last Modified**	01/15/2026	**National Pool**	No
				Include States	FL (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	x	x	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	x	x	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	x	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	x	x	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	x	N/A	N/A	N/A	x	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	**Min %**	**Default %**	**Max %**
Animals (Aquatic habitat)	10	20	80
Animals (Terrestrial habitat)	10	20	80
Long term protection of land	10	10	80
Plants (Degraded plant condition)	0	5	70
Plants (Fire management)	0	2	5
Plants (Pest pressure)	0	5	70
Soil (Concentrated erosion)	0	5	70
Soil (Wind and water erosion)	0	5	15
Water (Field pesticide loss)	0	5	70
Water (Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss)	0	5	70
Water (Salt losses to water)	0	3	5
Water (Source water depletion)	0	5	70
Water (Storage and handling of pollutants)	0	5	70
Water (Weather resilience)	0	5	20

Animals (Aquatic habitat)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic Habitat (Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms)	50	67	100
Aquatic Habitat (Elevated water temperature)	0	33	50

Animals (Terrestrial habitat)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial Habitat (Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates)	100	100	100

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	85	95	100
Threat of conversion	0	5	15

Plants (Degraded plant condition)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant Health (Plant productivity and health)	0	50	100
Plant Health (Plant structure and composition)	0	50	100

Plants (Fire management)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire Hazard (Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation)	100	100	100

Plants (Pest pressure)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant Health (Plant pest pressure)	100	100	100

Soil (Concentrated erosion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Erosion (Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels)	0	70	100
Soil Erosion (Classic gully erosion)	0	15	50
Soil Erosion (Ephemeral gully erosion)	0	15	50

Soil (Wind and water erosion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Erosion (Sheet and rill erosion)	0	85	100
Soil Erosion (Wind erosion)	0	15	100

Water (Field pesticide loss)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Pesticides transported to groundwater)	0	50	75
Water Quality (Pesticides transported to surface water)	25	50	100

Water (Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to groundwater)	0	35	100
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to surface water)	0	28	100
Water Quality (Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater)	0	4	15
Water Quality (Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water)	0	4	15
Water Quality (Sediment transported to surface water)	0	29	100

Water (Salt losses to water)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Salts transported to groundwater)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Salts transported to surface water)	0	50	100

Water (Source water depletion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quantity (Groundwater depletion)	25	40	60
Water Quantity (Surface water depletion)	40	60	75

Water (Storage and handling of pollutants)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to groundwater)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to surface water)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater)	0	--	100
Water Quality (Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water)	0	--	100

Water (Weather resilience)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quantity (Drifted snow)	0	--	25
Water Quantity (Naturally available moisture use)	0	10	25
Water Quantity (Ponding and flooding)	0	45	100
Water Quantity (Seasonal high water table)	0	35	100

Water (Weather resilience)			
Resource Concern	**Min %**	**Default %**	**Max %**
Water Quantity (Seeps)	0	10	25

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	03N	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Clearing and Snagging	326	00N	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	00N	Conservation Practices
Dam, Diversion	348	00N	Conservation Practices
Well Decommissioning	351	00N	Conservation Practices
Dike and Levee	356	00N	Conservation Practices
Diversion	362	00N	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	00N, 03N, 04N	Conservation Practices
Fuel Break	383	00N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	00N	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Land Clearing	460	00N	Conservation Practices
Land Smoothing	466	00N	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	00N	Conservation Practices
Obstruction Removal	500	00N	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	00N	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	00N	Conservation Practices
Access Road	560	00N	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Stream Crossing	578	00N	Conservation Practices
Surface Drain, Field Ditch	607	00N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	00N	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	00N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	00N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Enhancement	659	00N	Conservation Practices
Acquisition Process - Appraisal	LTAPA	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Update	LTAPAU	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Boundary Survey	LTAPBS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Closing Services	LTAPCS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Full Phase I	LTAPFP1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Title Search	LTAPTS	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - 30-Year Contract	LTP30YC	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - 30-Year Easement	LTP30YE	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	00N	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	20	30
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	10
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	70
Program Priorities	Default	15	25	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: ACEP-WRE (Active)

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: FL WRE Applicability Questions

Section: Land Type and Hydric Soils FL WRE		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Is the offered land an Eligible Land and contains at least 50% restorable hydric soil acres or has an approved waiver?	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: FL WRE Category Questions

Section: FL WRE Category Questions		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Is the offered land located in Florida?	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: FL WRE Program Questions

Section: Program Questions FL WRE FY26		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Cost effectiveness:	$0-$750	20
	$751-$2,500	10
	$2,501-$3,500	-20
	Over $3,500	-40
Is the landowner willing to contribute towards the easement?	YES	5
	NO	0
Does any portion of the offered easement intersect with the Florida Wildlife Corridor?	YES	25
	NO	0
Does the offered Easement fall within the Everglades Ecosystem?	YES	10
	NO	0
Does the offered easement contain any prime, unique, or locally important farmland?	YES	5
	NO	0
Is the offered easement area a single contiguous parcel without in-holdings or recognized rights-of-way that may affect the proposed restoration or future easement management?	YES	0
	NO	-25

Survey: FL WRE Resource Questions

Section: Resource Priorities FL WRE FY26		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**

Section: Resource Priorities FL WRE FY26		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Percentage of restorable wetland acres?	80-100% of the offered acres.	140
	65-79% of the offered acres.	105
	50-64% of the offered acres.	70
	0-49% of the offered acres.	0
Can the pre-conversion hydrologic flow pattern can be restored to the site (i.e. there are no dikes, canals, ditches or structures adjacent to the site that will affect the surface or subsurface flow onto or off of the site, or other physical or legal restrictions present)?	YES	30
	NO	0
What is the percentage of flooded or ponded soils?	The proposed easement contains 76-100% flooded or ponded soils	30
	The proposed easement contains 51-75% flooded or ponded soils	20
	The proposed easement contains 26-50% flooded or ponded soils	10
	The proposed easement contains 11-25% flooded or ponded soils	5
	The proposed easement contains 0-10% flooded or ponded soils	0
The proposed easement would restore and protect the following predominant habitat type:	Tidal Wetlands, Freshwater Marsh	40
	Cypress pond, Gum pond, Lake Fringe Swamps, Cypress Strand, Mixed Hardwood Swamp	30
	Wet Prairies, River Swamps	20
	Hydric Hammock, Hydric Flatwoods	10
	Site is predominantly upland	0
The restored wetland habitat diversity of the offered area?	The proposed easement contains 3 or more wetland habitat types	10
	The proposed easement contains 2 wetland habitat types	5
	Otherwise	0
Are there invasive plant species that would hinder wetland habitat restoration?	0-2%	15
	>2-10%	0
	> 10%	-25

Section: Resource Priorities FL WRE FY26		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Federally listed T&E species currently or expected to occupy the proposed easement:	is five (5) or more species federally listed as threatened or endangered AND restoration will provide high quality habitat for these species.	20
	is four (4) species federally listed as threatened or endangered AND restoration will provide high quality habitat for these species.	15
	is two to three (2-3) species federally listed threatened or endangered AND restoration will provide high quality habitat for these species.	10
	is one (1) species federally listed as threatened or endangered AND restoration will provide high quality habitat for these species or at least three (3) State Listed wildlife species (waders counted as single species) and restoration will provide high quality habitat for these species.	5
	restoration may provide habitat, but likelihood of human disturbance is so great that significant wildlife usage is unlikely.	0
What is the offered area's proximity to other easements and protected areas?	Shares a common boundary with an existing WRP easement and would allow more complete hydrological restoration of both WRP projects.	20
	Shares a common boundary with an existing WRP site and may enhance habitat restoration of both WRP projects.	15
	Shares a common boundary with a permanently protected conservation area of any size.	10
	is within 1 mile of a permanently protected conservation area > 100 acres in size.	5
	Otherwise	0
Are the offered acres within an impaired watershed with an approved BMAP?	YES	5
	NO	0
What is the proximity of the offered acres to Florida panther zones? Choose one:	Is located within the designated Florida panther primary zone and provides suitable habitat for the Florida panther.	15
	is located within the designated Florida panther secondary or dispersal zone and provides suitable habitat for the Florida panther.	10
	is located within designated Florida panther Northern Area expansion zone or Thatcher dispersal pathway and provides suitable habitat for the Florida panther	5
	otherwise	0

Section: Resource Priorities FL WRE FY26		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
What is the proximity to Wood Stork rookery and foraging areas?	Is located within 9 miles of a known wood stork rookery and will provide suitable feeding habitat for the wood stork.	10
	Is located within the Core Foraging Area of a known wood stork rookery and will provide suitable feeding habitat for the wood stork.	5
	Otherwise	0

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status