Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool OR FY26 ACEP-WRE

Program ACEP-WRE
Template ACEP-WRE
Last Modified By Eric Moeggenberg

Pool Status Active
Template Status Active
Last Modified 01/15/2026

Tags
Existing Practice Included No
National Pool No
Include States OR (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	x	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	x	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	x	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	x	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	x	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	x	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	**Min %**	**Default %**	**Max %**
Animals (Aquatic habitat)	10	15	80
Animals (Terrestrial habitat)	10	15	80
Long term protection of land	10	10	80
Plants (Degraded plant condition)	0	10	70
Plants (Fire management)	0	2	5
Plants (Pest pressure)	0	5	70
Soil (Concentrated erosion)	0	5	70
Soil (Wind and water erosion)	0	5	15
Water (Field pesticide loss)	0	5	70
Water (Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss)	0	5	70
Water (Salt losses to water)	0	3	5
Water (Source water depletion)	0	5	70
Water (Storage and handling of pollutants)	0	5	70
Water (Weather resilience)	0	10	20

Animals (Aquatic habitat)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Aquatic Habitat (Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms)	50	67	100
Aquatic Habitat (Elevated water temperature)	0	33	50

Animals (Terrestrial habitat)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial Habitat (Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates)	100	100	100

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Loss of functions and values	85	95	100
Threat of conversion	0	5	15

Plants (Degraded plant condition)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant Health (Plant productivity and health)	0	50	100
Plant Health (Plant structure and composition)	0	50	100

Plants (Fire management)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Wildfire Hazard (Wildfire hazard from biomass accumulation)	100	100	100

Plants (Pest pressure)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant Health (Plant pest pressure)	100	100	100

Soil (Concentrated erosion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Erosion (Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels)	0	70	100
Soil Erosion (Classic gully erosion)	0	15	50
Soil Erosion (Ephemeral gully erosion)	0	15	50

Soil (Wind and water erosion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Erosion (Sheet and rill erosion)	0	85	100
Soil Erosion (Wind erosion)	0	15	100

Water (Field pesticide loss)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Pesticides transported to groundwater)	0	50	75
Water Quality (Pesticides transported to surface water)	25	50	100

Water (Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to groundwater)	0	35	100
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to surface water)	0	28	100
Water Quality (Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater)	0	4	15
Water Quality (Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water)	0	4	15
Water Quality (Sediment transported to surface water)	0	29	100

Water (Salt losses to water)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Salts transported to groundwater)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Salts transported to surface water)	0	50	100

Water (Source water depletion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quantity (Groundwater depletion)	25	40	60
Water Quantity (Surface water depletion)	40	60	75

Water (Storage and handling of pollutants)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to groundwater)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to surface water)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater)	0	--	100
Water Quality (Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water)	0	--	100

Water (Weather resilience)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quantity (Drifted snow)	0	--	25
Water Quantity (Naturally available moisture use)	0	10	25
Water Quantity (Ponding and flooding)	0	45	100
Water Quantity (Seasonal high water table)	0	35	100

Water (Weather resilience)			
Resource Concern	**Min %**	**Default %**	**Max %**
Water Quantity (Seeps)	0	10	25

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Brush Management	314	00N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Herbaceous Weed Treatment	315	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Clearing and Snagging	326	00N	Conservation Practices
Conservation Cover	327	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Prescribed Burning	338	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Cover Crop	340	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Critical Area Planting	342	00N	Conservation Practices
Dam, Diversion	348	00N	Conservation Practices
Well Decommissioning	351	00N	Conservation Practices
Dike and Levee	356	00N	Conservation Practices
Diversion	362	00N, 01N, 02N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	380	00N	Conservation Practices
Fence	382	00N, 03N, 04N	Conservation Practices
Fuel Break	383	00N	Conservation Practices
Woody Residue Treatment	384	00N, 01N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Field Border	386	00N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Herbaceous Cover	390	00N, 01N, 02N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Riparian Forest Buffer	391	00N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Filter Strip	393	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Firebreak	394	00N	Conservation Practices
Stream Habitat Improvement and Management	395	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Aquatic Organism Passage	396	00N	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Dam	402	00N	Conservation Practices
Grade Stabilization Structure	410	00N	Conservation Practices
Grassed Waterway	412	00N	Conservation Practices
Wildlife Habitat Planting	420	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Land Clearing	460	00N	Conservation Practices
Land Smoothing	466	00N	Conservation Practices
Access Control	472	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Mulching	484	00N, 02N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Site Preparation	490	00N	Conservation Practices
Obstruction Removal	500	00N	Conservation Practices
Grazing Management	528	00N, 02N, 03N, 04N	Conservation Practices
Pumping Plant	533	00N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Range Planting	550	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Drainage Water Management	554	00N, 02N, 03N	Conservation Practices
Access Road	560	00N	Conservation Practices
Trails and Walkways	575	00N	Conservation Practices
Stream Crossing	578	00N, 01N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Streambank and Shoreline Protection	580	00N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Channel Bed Stabilization	584	00N	Conservation Practices
Structure for Water Control	587	00N	Conservation Practices
Nutrient Management	590	00N, 06N, 07N, 08N	Conservation Practices
Pest Management Conservation System	595	00N, 05N, 06N, 07N	Conservation Practices
Terrace	600	00N	Conservation Practices
Surface Drain, Field Ditch	607	00N	Conservation Practices
Surface Roughening	609	00N	Conservation Practices
Tree/Shrub Establishment	612	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Underground Outlet	620	00N	Conservation Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities	643	00N, 01N, 02N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management	644	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management	645	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Seasonal Water Management for Wildlife	646	00N	Conservation Practices
Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt	647	00N	Conservation Practices
Structures for Wildlife	649	00N	Conservation Practices
Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation	650	00N	Conservation Practices
Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment	654	00N	Conservation Practices
Forest Trails and Landings	655	00N	Conservation Practices
Constructed Wetland	656	00N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Restoration	657	00N, 01N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Creation	658	00N	Conservation Practices
Wetland Enhancement	659	00N	Conservation Practices
Forest Stand Improvement	666	00N	Conservation Practices
Acquisition Process - Appraisal	LTAPA	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Update	LTAPAU	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Boundary Survey	LTAPBS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Closing Services	LTAPCS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Full Phase I	LTAPFP1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Title Search	LTAPTS	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - 30-Year Contract	LTP30YC	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - 30-Year Easement	LTP30YE	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	00N	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	10	15	30
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	10	10
Resource Priorities	Default	20	50	70
Program Priorities	Default	15	25	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: OR FY26 ACEP-WRE (Active)

An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Is this an ACEP-WRE application that seeks to protect and restore wetlands through a permanent conservation easement, a 30-year easement or a 30-year contract and has met Oregon workload priority to rank?	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Are the applications Planning Land Unit's located in Oregon	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Easement Cost - Is there a voluntary landowner offer to accept a reduced easement value based on the compensation that the landowner would be entitled to for the enrollment type?	70 percent Fair Market Value, the GARC is 85 percent, reducing GARC by 15 percent.	10
	75 percent Fair Market Value, reducing GARC by 10 percent.	7
	80 percent Fair Market Value, reducing GARC by 5 percent.	5
	85 percent Fair Market Value, GARC, no landowner offers to reduce payment	0

Section: Program

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Restoration Cost -What is the total estimated restoration cost per acre that will be borne by NRCS per the preliminary restoration plan?	Less than or equal to $500 per acre.	15
	Greater than $500 but less than or equal to $1500/acre.	10
	Greater than $1500 but less than or equal to $2500/acre.	5
	More than $2500/acre.	0
Restoration Cost-Benefit - What is the cost per environmental benefit ratio? Restoration cost per acre divided by the Environmental Benefits points equals the Cost benefit ratio. Environmental Benefits are represented in the Resource Ranking Criteria section.	Less than or equal to 10	50
	Greater than 10 but less than or equal to 20	25
	More than 20	0
Operation and Maintenance - Operation, maintenance, and management requirements needed to keep structural and vegetative practices functional. Consider deterioration and damage prevention, repair, and replacement, in addition to monitoring needs.	Minimal. Restoration designed to minimize operation, maintenance, and management costs and requirements; practices have low replacement cost, easy access, and/or infrequent maintenance requirements. Examples include tile breaks, ditch fill/plug, tide gate removal, easements with high components of DWF/RFF that will be planted to trees and shrubs, or sites that have low invasive weed presence/vectors and high native plant presence at time of acquisition.	70
	Moderate. Restoration requires a moderate degree of operation, maintenance and management costs during establishment period, with less frequent inputs thereafter.	35
	High. Onsite or offsite conditions require high degree of operation, maintenance, management and repair costs, e.g. structures requiring significant maintenance after flood events, restoration requiring frequent water management, recurring treatment needed to address erosion and, or siltation, continual noxious weed reinfestation.	0
Priority Areas - Are the PLUs within the boundary one of the Priority Geographic Regions Maps for WRE?	Project is located within a Priority Area.	20
	Project is not located within a Priority Area, however, has partner support for acquisition due to its high ecological value.	5
	Project is not located in Priority Area nor does it have partner support for acquisition.	0
Project Complexity - what is the level of project complexity? Base the answer on prelim WRPO, consider inventory, plan, and design time, level of permitting, and NEPA, ESA, SHPO consultation requirements.	Very low, less than standard planning time, permitting, and consultations.	25
	Low, standard planning time, permitting, and consultations.	15
	Moderate, requires individual ESA consultation, sites over 1,000 acres, water rights adjustments.	5
	High, requires an EA or EIS, excessive permitting time, or complex cultural resource consultation.	0

Section: Program		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
What is the project size(acres) and is the project adjacent existing or enrolled WRE easements.	Offered easement area is adjacent to an existing or enrolled WRE easements and greater than 30 acres.	10
	Offered easement area is not adjacent to an existing or enrolled WRE easements and less than 30 acres.	--

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Restored Hydrology - Future Condition - What is the extent of hydrologic restoration relative to historic conditions? Percent of the Eligible Acres on which the hydrology will be restored to historic conditions suitable for the needs of the native wetland-dependent wildlife species that occurred in the area and appropriate for the wetland functions and values that existed prior to manipulation.	90 to 100 %	50
	75 to 89 %	30
	50 to 74 %	20
	Less than 50%	0
Altered Hydrology - Present Condition - What is the degree of hydrologic alteration? Use Certified Wetland Determination or wetland inventory, with input from Resource Soil Scientist and specialists, to estimate the degree of departure from original hydrology. Choose the category representing the majority of the Eligible Acres that will have hydrology restored.	Original wetland hydrology is relatively unmodified or previous hydrologic modifications have largely deteriorated such that historic hydrology is present.	50
	Original wetland hydrology is moderately degraded or modified; or original wetland hydrology was previously restored. For example, functional, or partially functional, ditches, dikes, diversions, and tiles are affecting less than or equal to 50 percent of the eligible acres.	25
	Original wetland hydrology is significantly degraded or modified. For example, functional ditches, dikes, diversions, and tiles are affecting the historic hydrology.	0
Habitat for At-Risk Species - Does the proposed easement provide or has the potential to provide, State or Federally listed Threatened, Endangered, or Candidate species.	Offered acres have known use by State or Federally listed Threatened, Endangered, or Candidate species	20
	Offered acres has the potential to restore, enhance, or create habitat for use by State or Federally listed Threatened, Endangered, or Candidate species.	10
	None of the above.	0
Native Plant Communities - What is the likelihood that the Total Easement Acres will return to a predominance of historic native vegetation after restoration? Consider soil quality, hydrology, invasive weed vectors and existing seed bank, and logistics like plant material availability and access to site.	High Likelihood	15
	Moderate Likelihood	5
	Low Likelihood	0
Habitat Diversity - What will the post-restoration condition be within the easement? Utilize the Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States, AKA Cowardin classes. Identify the habitat types in the Prelim WRPO and the plan as existing or restored. Habitat types include Upland, Riverine, Estuarine, PFO, PEM, PSS, and Open Water.	3 or more types	10
	2 types	3
	1 type	1

Section: Resource		
Question	**Answer Choices**	**Points**
Adjacent Protected Habitat - What is the proximity of proposed easement to an existing protected area? For example: other conservation easement, USFWS refuge, State or locally managed wildlife areas. List the protected areas in the Prelim WRPO.	Adjacent	20
	Less than 1 mile	15
	1 - 5 miles	5
	More than 5 miles	0
Floodplain Connectivity - Will the post restoration conditions support a functioning floodplain with river or creek having access to the floodplain?	YES	10
	NO	0
Duration of Enrollment - What will be the permanence of restored habitat?	Permanent Easement	10
	30-year Easement or 30-year Contract	5
Water Quality - Will the protection and restoration of the offered area result in reduced transfer of pollutants, sediments, or nutrients to an adjacent water body which will result in an increase of water quality? For example, halting grazing or agricultural operations that were resulting in non-point source pollution inputs or vegetating bare soil/riparian areas.	YES	10
	NO	0

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status