



Ranking Pool Report

Ranking Pool OR FY26 ALE GSS

Program ACEP

Pool Status Active

Tags

Template ACEP-ALE (ProTracts) **Template Status** Active

Existing Practice Included No

Last Modified By Eric Moeggenberg

Last Modified 01/13/2026

National Pool No

Include States OR (Admin)

Land Uses and Modifiers

Land Use	Grazed	Wildlife	Irrigated	Hayed	Drained	Organic	Water Feature	Protected	Urban	Aquaculture
Associated Ag Land	--	--	--	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Crop	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Developed Land	N/A	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Farmstead	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Forest	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Other Rural Land	--	--	--	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Pasture	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Range	--	--	N/A	--	N/A	--	--	--	--	--
Water	N/A	--	N/A	N/A	N/A	--	--	--	--	--

Resource Concern Categories

Categories			
Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Animals (Livestock production limitation)	0	5	50
Animals (Terrestrial habitat)	0	--	40
Long term protection of land	35	45	75
Plants (Degraded plant condition)	0	5	50
Plants (Pest pressure)	0	5	40
Soil (Concentrated erosion)	0	5	30
Soil (Soil quality limitations)	0	5	50
Soil (Wind and water erosion)	0	5	40
Water (Field pesticide loss)	0	5	20
Water (Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss)	0	5	50
Water (Salt losses to water)	0	5	20

Categories

Category	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water (Source water depletion)	0	5	40
Water (Storage and handling of pollutants)	0	5	40

Animals (Livestock production limitation)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Livestock Health (Feed and forage balance)	0	40	100
Livestock Health (Inadequate livestock shelter)	0	15	100
Livestock Health (Inadequate livestock water quantity, quality and distribution)	0	45	100

Animals (Terrestrial habitat)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Terrestrial Habitat (Terrestrial habitat for wildlife and invertebrates)	0	100	100

Long term protection of land

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Threat of conversion	100	100	100

Plants (Degraded plant condition)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant Health (Plant productivity and health)	0	50	100
Plant Health (Plant structure and composition)	0	50	100

Plants (Pest pressure)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Plant Health (Plant pest pressure)	0	100	100

Soil (Concentrated erosion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Erosion (Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels)	0	20	100
Soil Erosion (Classic gully erosion)	0	40	100
Soil Erosion (Ephemeral gully erosion)	0	40	100

Soil (Soil quality limitations)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Health (Aggregate instability)	0	15	100

Soil (Soil quality limitations)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Health (Compaction)	0	15	100
Soil Health (Concentration of salts or other chemicals)	0	15	100
Soil Health (Organic matter depletion)	0	20	100
Soil Health (Soil organism habitat loss or degradation)	0	20	100
Soil Health (Subsidence)	0	15	100

Soil (Wind and water erosion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Soil Erosion (Sheet and rill erosion)	0	50	100
Soil Erosion (Wind erosion)	0	50	100

Water (Field pesticide loss)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Pesticides transported to groundwater)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Pesticides transported to surface water)	0	50	100

Water (Field sediment, nutrient and pathogen loss)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to groundwater)	0	20	100
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to surface water)	0	20	100
Water Quality (Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to groundwater)	0	20	100
Water Quality (Pathogens and chemicals from manure, biosolids or compost applications transported to surface water)	0	20	100
Water Quality (Sediment transported to surface water)	0	20	100

Water (Salt losses to water)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Salts transported to groundwater)	0	50	100
Water Quality (Salts transported to surface water)	0	50	100

Water (Source water depletion)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quantity (Groundwater depletion)	0	35	100
Water Quantity (Inefficient irrigation water use)	0	35	100
Water Quantity (Surface water depletion)	0	30	100

Water (Storage and handling of pollutants)

Resource Concern	Min %	Default %	Max %
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to groundwater)	0	25	100
Water Quality (Nutrients transported to surface water)	0	25	100
Water Quality (Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to groundwater)	0	25	100
Water Quality (Petroleum, heavy metals and other pollutants transported to surface water)	0	25	100

Practices

Practice Name	Practice Code	Practice Narratives	Practice Type
Acquisition Process - Buy-Protect-Sell Transfer	LTAPBPST	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Environmental Database Records Search	LTAPERS	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Ingress Egress	LTAPIE	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review First Review	LTAPTR1	00N	Easements
Acquisition Process - Appraisal Technical Review Second Review	LTAPTR2	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Maximum Duration Allowed by State Law	LTPMAS	00N	Easements
Long-Term Protection of Land - Permanent Easement	LTPPE	00N	Easements

Ranking Weights

Factors	Algorithm	Allowable Min	Default	Allowable Max
Vulnerabilities	Default	5	5	20
Planned Practice Effects	Default	5	5	10
Resource Priorities	Default	35	40	50
Program Priorities	Default	40	50	50
Efficiencies	Default	0	0	0

Display Group: OR FY26 ALE GSS (Active)



An asterisk will be displayed to show that it is a conditional section or conditional question.

Survey: Applicability Questions

Section: Applicability		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Is the application located in Oregon	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Category Questions

Section: Category		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Does the land offered for enrollment qualify for GSS eligibility category?	YES	--
	NO	--

Survey: Program Questions

Section: Program		
Question	Answer Choices	Points
Percent of prime, unique, and important farmland soils in the parcel to be protected	Greater than 80%	17
	Greater than 70% but less than or equal to 80%	15
	Greater than 60% but less than or equal to 70%	8
	Greater than 50% but less than or equal to 60%	4
	50% or less	0
Percent of grazing land, range land on the parcel to be protected	Greater than 80%	17
	Greater than 70% but less than or equal to 80%	15
	Greater than 60% but less than or equal to 70%	8
	Greater than 50% but less than or equal to 60%	4
	50% or less	0
Percentage of cropland, rangeland, grassland, historic grassland, pastureland, or nonindustrial private forest land in parcel to be protected.	Greater than 50%	17
	Greater than 40% but less than or equal to 50%	8
	Greater than 33% but less than or equal to 40%	4
	33% or less	0
Ratio of the total acres of land in the parcel to be protected to average farm size in the county according to the most recent USDA Census of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Ratio greater than 2.0	15
	Ratio greater than 1.0 but less than or equal to 2.0	7
	Ratio of 1.0 or less	0
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of permanent grassland, pasture, and rangeland, other than cropland and woodland pasture, in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Decrease greater than 15%	15
	Decrease greater than 10% but less than or equal to 15%	8
	Decrease greater than 5% but less than or equal to 10%	5
	Decrease greater than 0% but less than or equal to 5%	3
	Decrease of 0% or less	0

Section: Program

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Decrease in the percentage of acreage of farm and ranch land in the county in which the parcel is located between the last two USDA Censuses of Agriculture (USDA - NASS - Census of Agriculture)	Decrease greater than 15%	16
	Decrease greater than 10% but less than or equal to 15%	8
	Decrease greater than 5% but less than or equal to 10%	5
	Decrease greater than 0% but less than or equal to 5%	3
	Decrease of 0% or less	0
Percent population growth in the county as documented by the most recent United States Census (Census Bureau Home Page)	Growth rate of more than 3 times the State growth rate	15
	Growth rate greater than 2 but less than or equal to 3 times the State growth rate	7
	Growth rate greater than 1 but less than or equal to 2 times the State growth rate	4
	Growth rate less than or equal to 1 times the State Growth rate	0
County population density (population per square mile) as documented by the most recent United States Census (Census Bureau Home Page)	Population density greater than 3 times the State population density	15
	Population density greater than 2 but less than or equal to 3 times the State population density	7
	Population density greater than 1 but less than or equal to 2 times the State population density	4
	Population density less than or equal to 1 times the State population density	0
Existence of a farm or ranch succession plan or similar plan established to address farm viability for future generations	Plan documented and prepared by an industry professional	15
	Informal Plan	7
	No Plan	0
Proximity of the parcel to other agricultural operations and agricultural infrastructure	Parcel boundary adjoins	15
	Parcel is less than or equal to 1 mile in proximity	7
	Parcel is greater than 1 mile but less than or equal to 3 miles in proximity	4
	Parcel is greater than 3 miles in proximity	0
Proximity of the parcel to other protected land, such as compatible military installations; land owned in fee title by the United States or an Indian Tribe, State or local government, or by a nongovernmental organization whose purpose is to protect agricultural use and related conservation values; or land that is already subject to an easement or deed restriction that limits the conversion of the land to nonagricultural use or protects grazing uses and related conservation values	Parcel is directly adjacent (touching along a shared boundary) to a protected land boundary	15
	Parcel is less than or equal to 1 mile in proximity	10
	Parcel is greater than 1 mile but less than or equal to 2 miles in proximity	7.5
	Parcel is greater than 2 miles but less than or equal to 5 miles in proximity	4
	Parcel is greater than 5 miles in proximity	0

Section: Program

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Parcel ability to maximize the protection of contiguous or proximal acres devoted to agricultural use	Parcel links two non-continuous corridors of protected agricultural use	15
	Parcel is a contiguous or proximal expansion of agricultural use protected area	6
	Neither of the above	0
Land is currently enrolled in CRP in a contract that is set to expire within one year and is grassland that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement.	YES	10
	NO	0
Land is grassland of special environmental significance that would benefit from protection under a long-term easement.	YES	10
	NO	0
Percent of the fair market value of the agricultural land easement that is the eligible entities own cash resources for payment of easement compensation to the landowner and comes from sources other than the landowner.	Greater than 25%	10
	Greater than 10% but less than or equal to 25%	8
	Greater than 2% but less than or equal to 10%	5
	Less than or equal to 2%	0

Survey: Resource Questions

Section: Resource

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Parcel is located in an Exclusive Farm Use zone.	YES	10
	NO	0
Property is within 3 miles of an Oregon population center (an Incorporated City or a Census Designated Place) https://redistricting-geo.hub.arcgis.com/datasets/geo::cities-and-census-designated-places-2020/explore	YES	15
	NO	0
Proximity of the parcel to USFWS Designated Critical Habitat for Threatened and Endangered Species. https://fws.maps.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap=9d8de5e265ad4fe09893cf75b8dbfb77	Within 1/2 mile	20
	Between and 1/2 mile and 1 mile	10
	Greater than 1 mile	0
Parcel is partially or wholly within boundaries of the 2023 ODFW Sage Grouse Habitat Map (core or low-density) and the parcel contains beneficial habitat to Sage Grouse https://hub.oregonexplorer.info/datasets/18951af9c9704feba45ee2befb2e6f91_58/explore?location=42.955865%2C-120.092129%2C7.91	YES	20
	NO	0
Percent of rangeland, pastureland, land that contains forbs or shrublands to be protected that is currently devoted to grazing uses	Greater than 75%	20
	Greater than 50% but less than or equal to 75%	15
	Less than 50%	0
Percent of the offered easement area that is improved or naturalized pasture	Less than or equal to 25%	20
	Greater than 25% but less than or equal to 50%	15
	Greater than 50% but less than or equal to 75%	5
	Greater than 75%	0

Section: Resource

Question	Answer Choices	Points
Percentage of offered easement area that falls within the NRCS-OR Grasslands of Special Environmental Significance Map	Greater than 90%	25
	Greater than 75% but less than or equal to 90%	15
	Greater than 50% but less than or equal to 75%	10
	Greater than 1% but less than or equal 50%	5
	0%	0
Percent of invasive or noxious species present on the parcel as determined by the NRCS-OR onsite GSS determination	Less than or equal to 10%	25
	Greater than 10%	-25
Eastern Oregon (Deschutes, High Desert, John Day/Umatilla, and Snake River Basins) - Percent of tree presence (pine tree encroachment and/or invasive Juniper) on the parcel as determined by NRCS staff during the onsite evaluation.	Less than or equal to 5%	25
	Greater than 5%	0
	Not applicable	0
Western Oregon (North Coast, Lower Willamette, Central Coast/Upper Willamette, and Southwest Oregon Basins) - Percent of Oak presence on savanna as determined by NRCS staff during the onsite evaluation.	Less than or equal to 20%	25
	Greater than 20%	0
	Not applicable	0
In the past 5 fiscal years, eligible entity has demonstrated performance in managing and enforcing easements by monitoring 95 percent or more of its easements.	YES	10
	NO	0
In the past 5 fiscal years, the entity has closed ACEP-ALE funded easements on average within 24 months from obligation on average.	YES	10
	NO	0

Detailed Assessments

Name	Type	Jurisdiction	Status
------	------	--------------	--------