

New Mexico Water Supply Outlook Report February 1, 2025



Sparse mid-season snowpack in the vicinity of the Hematite Park Manual Snow Course in the Sangre de Cristo Mountains on January 29th, 2025. The survey recorded 0.6 inches of Snow Water Equivalent [SWE] at this location, representing just 19 percent of normal. NRCS Photo: Jaz Ammon

Basin Outlook Reports

and

Federal - State - Private

Cooperative Snow Surveys

For more New Mexico Snow Survey and Water Supply Forecasting Program information, contact:

Jaz Ammon
Water Supply Specialist (Hydrologic Technician)
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Snow Survey and Water Supply Forecasting
100 Sun Avenue NE, Suite 602
Albuquerque, NM 87109
(505) 328-8629
Jaz.Ammon@usda.gov

<https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/new-mexico/snow-survey>



How forecasts are made

Most of the annual streamflow in the western United States originates as snowfall that has accumulated in the mountains during the winter and early spring. As the snowpack accumulates, hydrologists estimate the runoff that will occur when it melts. Measurements of snow water equivalent at selected manual snow courses and automated SNOTEL sites, along with precipitation, antecedent streamflow, and indices of the El Niño / Southern Oscillation are used in computerized statistical and simulation models to prepare runoff forecasts. These forecasts are coordinated between hydrologists in the Natural Resources Conservation Service and the National Weather Service. Unless otherwise specified, all forecasts are for flows that would occur naturally without any upstream influences.

Forecasts of any kind, of course, are not perfect. Streamflow forecast uncertainty arises from three primary sources: (1) uncertain knowledge of future weather conditions, (2) uncertainty in the forecasting procedure, and (3) errors in the data. The forecast, therefore, must be interpreted not as a single value but rather as a range of values with specific probabilities of occurrence. The middle of the range is expressed by the 50% exceedance probability forecast, for which there is a 50% chance that the actual flow will be above, and a 50% chance that the actual flow will be below, this value. To describe the expected range around this 50% value, four other forecasts are provided, two smaller values (90% and 70% exceedance probability) and two larger values (30%, and 10% exceedance probability). For example, there is a 90% chance that the actual flow will be more than the 90% exceedance probability forecast. The others can be interpreted similarly.

The wider the spread among these values, the more uncertain the forecast. As the season progresses, forecasts become more accurate, primarily because a greater portion of the future weather conditions become known; this is reflected by a narrowing of the range around the 50% exceedance probability forecast. Users should take this uncertainty into consideration when making operational decisions by selecting forecasts corresponding to the level of risk they are willing to assume about the amount of water to be expected. If users anticipate receiving a lesser supply of water, or if they wish to increase their chances of having an adequate supply of water for their operations, they may want to base their decisions on the 90% or 70% exceedance probability forecasts, or something in between. On the other hand, if users are concerned about receiving too much water (for example, threat of flooding), they may want to base their decisions on the 30% or 10% exceedance probability forecasts, or something in between. Regardless of the forecast value users choose for operations, they should be prepared to deal with either more or less water. (Users should remember that even if the 90% exceedance probability forecast is used, there is still a 10% chance of receiving less than this amount). By using the exceedance probability information, users can easily determine the chances of receiving more or less water than predicted in the forecast.

Contact:

Angus Goodbody, angus.goodbody@usda.gov, Lead Forecast Hydrologist, USDA NRCS Snow Survey and Water Supply Forecasting Program.

Additional Reading Hyperlinks:

[Assessing the new NRCS water supply forecast model for the American West](#)

[A Machine Learning Metasystem for Robust Probabilistic Nonlinear Regression-Based Forecasting](#)

Key Online Resources Referenced:

¹<https://nwcc-apps.sc.egov.usda.gov/basin-plots/#NM>

²<https://nwcc-apps.sc.egov.usda.gov/>

³ <https://nwcc-apps.sc.egov.usda.gov/imap/>

⁴<https://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/ftpref/nwcc/basin-rpt/>

⁵<https://nwcc-apps.sc.egov.usda.gov/forecast-plots/#state=NM>

February 1, 2025, Summary

February 1 **snowpack** conditions are far from optimistic for New Mexico's forecast basins, with persistent dry conditions pervading the state as we move deeper into the winter season. Extremely low to absent snow conditions dominated the state's major river catchments as of the end of January, with accumulated Snow Water Equivalent dropping to or below the record lows in many locations. Poor winter snow coverage has left the aggregated statewide Snow Water Equivalent totals at a mere 55% of the reference period normal conditions for this time of year. A relatively smaller departure below normal Snow Water Equivalent characterized the northernmost Rio Grande Headwaters which feed New Mexico's mainstem Rio Grande from Southern Colorado. In contrast to last month, the San Juan basin has now joined all other NRCS forecast basins further south in faring far worse, with 50% of the reference period normal Snow Water Equivalent accumulation or below recorded in these areas. These dismal winter hydrometeorological conditions point toward the possibility of a statewide record low snowpack for New Mexico's 2025 winter season if the observed trend since the onset of the accumulation season persists into the coming weeks. With the normal peak for Snow Water Equivalent occurring in mid-to-late March in New Mexico, the next month's winter conditions will play a crucial role in determining water availability stored on the landscape as snow for the spring runoff season.

Water year to-date total **precipitation** dropped below 2024 values for the state in late January. Wetter than normal conditions prior to the onset of winter have contributed to a slightly more optimistic picture of statewide total water cycle input conditions than that provided by snowpack measurements alone as of February 1, 2025. The dry conditions which became prevalent in early December have characterized the entire winter season through January, resulting in statewide cumulative precipitation as a percent of median dropping to 74% of the reference period normal on February 1. This represents a slight departure below recorded February 1 water year-to-date precipitation in 2024. While total precipitation accounts for both rain and snowfall captured across the NRCS monitoring network, rainfall early in the water year during the fall season interacts with spring runoff volumes differently than accumulated water sitting on the landscape surface as frozen snow available for melt. As dry winter conditions have persisted, the robust fall precipitation inputs seen in October and November have been overshadowed by a lack of snow water accumulating during the winter season. Such conditions leave little new water available for melt and runoff in the spring and early summer when many of New Mexico's water users rely on surface water supplies most heavily.

Reservoir storage volumes again showed a significant increase over last year's totals in the Pecos system for February 1, with more marginal increases over last year's storage in the Canadian and Rio Grande Headwaters systems. The Pecos and Rio Grande Headwaters systems held at or above the reference period median "normal" storage volumes as of the beginning of February. The Lower Rio Grande, Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande and San Juan storage systems held less water in storage on February 1 compared to last year.

This being the second official NRCS volumetric forecast publication of water year 2025, it paints a more refined picture of water supply expectations than the preceding January 1 forecasts. While forecast skill tends to increase as the corresponding forecast period approaches,

these projected volumetric flow outcomes still reflect significant future weather uncertainty to come until streamflow is delivered to each forecast point in question. The forecasts provided in this report are based upon observed hydrometeorological conditions as of the end of January 2025. As such, February 1 official NRCS **streamflow** forecast volumes generally represent a relatively wide range of possible flows and will not account for any weather which has occurred throughout the state since the end of the last month. The notably wide range between the exceedance probability bounds in many of these forecasts reflect the considerable remaining uncertainty in how the winter will progress, and largely occur in the lowest volume waterways throughout the state. With low volumes of new hydrometeorological input and the resultant remarkably meager snowpack persisting so late into the water year, even small-scale future weather events may have a proportionally high impact on forecast outcomes moving forward.



NRCS Soil Scientist Logan Peterson measures the patchy snow conditions at the Taos Canyon Manual Snow Course in the Sangre de Cristo Range on January 29th, 2025. SWE added up to 0.8 inches at this site, representing 22 Percent of normal for the February 1 survey cycle. NRCS Photo: Jaz Ammon

Snowpack




Figure 1: This plot shows inclusive Snow Water Equivalent [SWE] trends throughout the Water Year (October 1 through September 30) for the aggregated State of New Mexico. The solid green line on this plot shows the reference period (1991-2020) median SWE values at all climate measurement sites indexed from throughout the state. The solid black trace shows SWE accumulation for the current water year, 2025. The red trace shows statewide SWE values throughout the previous Water Year, 2024. Such statewide aggregations ignore many of the complexities presented by the climatic heterogeneity present in a vast and topographically variable state such as New Mexico. This statewide summary generally varies significantly from basin wide or individual site values. Further data visualizations can be accessed online through NRCS near-real time [Air and Water Plots](#)¹ produced by the NRCS.

Persistently dry winter conditions throughout New Mexico's mountain regions during the month of January have compounded early season snowpack deficits, landing many monitoring sites below the lowest 10th percentile for Snow Water Equivalent [SWE] accumulation as of February 1. Record low SWE was recorded in the Gila- San Francisco, Zuni, and parts of the Lower Rio Grande basins on February 1. With just over 55% of the reference period median SWE retained on the landscape statewide (see **Figure 1**), current trends indicate the potential for record low snowpack values to emerge across much of New Mexico in the coming weeks. With such minimal water persisting on the landscape in our high elevation snowpack "reservoirs," even small storm events can have a proportionally large impact on localized conditions within a single watershed and improve the broader outlook for the state as a whole. Statewide median peak for SWE occurs in mid-to-late March, indicating there is still time for some gains to be made before melt initiates in earnest across New Mexico. In years with such minimal winter hydrologic input into the surface water supply, water users are urged to closely monitor changing conditions and plan their usage according to the best practices available.

Basinwide February 1 SWE values showed decreased in-situ snow conditions from last year in all but one of the major New Mexico forecast basins, with the Rio Grande Headwaters in Colorado being the sole exception (**Figures 2 & 3**). With such low totals of SWE measured across the NRCS monitoring network in New Mexico, percent of median values can be quite sensitive to small changes in snow totals given that median values themselves are still quite small and the percent of median “normal” can thus change rapidly with even a single storm event. Further complicating these statistical calculations, a zero value (bare ground, no measurable SWE present) cannot be represented as a percent of normal in the conventional sense. As of this publication, several snow measurement sites throughout New Mexico’s forecast domain retained zero measurable snowpack. It can be informative to explore the time series data for individual Snowpack Telemetry [SNOTEL] stations or manual snow courses in an area of interest to compare SWE values reported at each site and how they relate to the median. These data are represented in a flagship NRCS visualization product known as the [Air and Water Plots](#)¹, such as that shown for the entire state of New Mexico in **Figure 1**.

This publication represents a snapshot of snowpack conditions as of early February, and therefore may not account for any additional climate events which have occurred since the end of the previous month. Many of the products available through the suite of online NRCS [Water and Climate Center Applications](#)² [NWCC Apps] provide near real-time conditions updates as of the date of inquiry. This report provides context for the monthly publication of NRCS streamflow forecasts and is thereby constrained to a single date in time. The map graphic illustrating basinwide SWE as of February 1 is included below, along with data tables providing totals by individual measurement site grouped by forecast basin and sub-basin. For near real-time interactive versions of the associated online data products, refer to the [Interactive Map](#)³. [Air and Water Plots](#)¹ can also be accessed via the interactive map by clicking on the corresponding forecast basin or climate monitoring station icon within the map itself. Map controls will need to be set to the appropriate New Mexico basin parameters to replicate the statistics seen in this report. Monthly [Basin Outlook Reports](#)⁴ showing data tables in the format provided here are available online as well.




Figure 2: Percent of reference period normal Snow Water Equivalent [SWE] by basin for February 1, 2025, compared to last year.




Figure 3: Percent change in reference period normal Snow Water Equivalent [SWE] between February 1, 2024, and February 1, 2025.

Precipitation




Figure 4: This plot shows cumulative precipitation trends throughout the Water Year (October 1 through September 30) for the aggregated State of New Mexico. The solid green line on this plot shows the reference period (1991-2020) median precipitation values at all climate measurement sites indexed throughout the state. The solid black trace shows precipitation accumulation for the current water year, 2025. The red trace shows statewide precipitation values throughout the previous Water Year, 2024.

As of February 1, total water year-to-date precipitation for 2025 has fallen below that measured in the previous year for NRCS monitoring locations throughout the state of New Mexico. February 1 statewide cumulative precipitation totals represented 74% of the 30-year reference period 1991-2020 median (**Figure 4**). Total precipitation accounts for rain as well as snowfall or other frozen water measured at SNOTEL sites within the NRCS network. Above normal rainfall at the start of the current water year in October and November has proven insufficient to hold statewide precipitation totals near normal as the winter season has progressed (**Figure 4**). Minimal winter hydrometeorological inputs during December and January left the Canadian basin as the only major New Mexico watershed having received above the reference period median total precipitation by February 1 (**Figure 5**). Improvements over prior year precipitation totals occurred in the Rio Grande Headwaters, Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande, Canadian, and San Juan basins. These observations were contrasted with lower water year-to-date totals measured in the Lower Rio Grande, Pecos, and Gila- San Francisco basins relative to 2024 (**Figure 5; Figure 6**).

In mountainous regions throughout New Mexico, winter precipitation and particularly the water contained in accumulated snow plays a large role in runoff and streamflow during the spring and summer. This report and the streamflow forecast volumes herein reflect statewide conditions as they stood on February 1 and will not account for any additional precipitation which has accumulated since the end of the prior month. The map graphic for spatially distributed basin wide percent of normal water year-to-date precipitation as of February 1, 2025, is included below. Another format of the same data in considerably more detail is presented in the basin wide precipitation summary tables provided below. A user friendly way to explore individual sub-basin or site-specific conditions is to refer to the summary tables or to access the interactive online version of the NRCS National Water and Climate Center [NWCC] [Interactive Map](#)³. For near real-time precipitation data graphics, refer to the [Air and Water Plots](#)¹, a product featured on the [NWCC Apps](#)² page dedicated to visual data figures.




Figure 5: Percent of normal water year-to-date precipitation: February 1, 2025, compared to last year.




Figure 6: Percent change in reference period normal water year-to-date precipitation between February 1, 2024, and February 1, 2025. A water year begins on October 1.

Reservoirs

New Mexico reservoir systems reflected in NRCS products have continued to show complete end-of-month reporting throughout the current water year. This month, reservoir storage systems in the Rio Grande Headwaters in southern Colorado, as well as in the Canadian and Pecos basins, reported increased retained volumes when compared to February 1 of 2024. Notably, the Pecos and Rio Grande Headwaters and retention systems show at or above reference period median storage volumes, respectively (**Table 1; Figure 7**). The Pecos basin storage systems have seen the greatest percent increase over last year's February 1 storage, with the Canadian and Rio Grande Headwaters systems also retaining more storage water than last year. Decreases in storage volumes from last year were reported for the Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande, Lower Rio Grande, and San Juan reservoirs with respect to February 1 start of day totals (**Figures 7 & 8**).

With significant future weather uncertainty taken in conjunction with strategic management decisions from reservoir operators, much remains to be seen for New Mexico's water storage outlook as we move closer to the primary irrigation season. The basin wide reservoir storage map graphic and associated summary tables included below will provide a broad picture of conditions across New Mexico during the midst of the winter. End of month reservoir storage volumes are provided by NRCS partner entities and can be explored further in the online [Air and Water Plots](#)¹ as well as in geographic form in the [Interactive Map](#)³ and in the data tables provided in each monthly [Basin Outlook Report](#)⁴.

Table 1:

Basin Wide Summary: February 1, 2025 (Medians based on 1991- 2020 reference period)	Reservoir Storage Summary End of January, 2025				
	Current % Capacity	Last Year % Capacity	Median % Capacity	Current % Median	Last Year % Median
Rio Grande Headwaters	30%	29%	24%	127%	122%
Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande	10%	10%	26%	37%	39%
Lower Rio Grande	10%	18%	21%	48%	88%
Canadian	46%	29%	52%	88%	55%
Pecos	7%	4%	7%	100%	56%
San Juan	61%	63%	75%	82%	83%




Figure 7: Percent of reference period normal reservoir storage for February 1, 2025, as compared to last year. There is now an alternative version of this data graphic located [online](#)¹.




Figure 8: Percent change in reference period normal reservoir storage between February 1, 2024, and February 1, 2025.

Streamflow

The dismal snowpack and precipitation trends reported above are reflected in the February 1 seasonal volumetric streamflow forecasts. These model outputs have been updated to uniformly project below to well below normal volumes across all forecast points within New Mexico at the 50% exceedance probability. Streamflow in extremely low volume systems such as many tributary streams and seasonally intermittent waterways in the desert southwest is notoriously challenging to forecast. This uncertainty, reflected in lower skill forecast outputs, is represented by a very wide range between the low and high bounds of the exceedance probability window at a given forecast point. As always, there are several important factors to bear in mind when analyzing the official NRCS February 1 volumetric streamflow forecasts included in this report. Even this far into the winter season, a large amount of future weather uncertainty must be accounted for as the accumulation season progresses toward the normal peak date for SWE in each watershed basin. Monitoring changing snowpack conditions and official monthly streamflow forecast publications as the season unfolds provides important context for interpreting these projected flow volume ranges. As described in the Snowpack and Precipitation narratives, another important consideration with low volume forecasts is that small inputs of SWE added to or melted from the snowpack can have a proportionally large effect on overall runoff volumes during the forecast period. This occurs when incorporating the smaller season values for both SWE and total precipitation into forecast models, as outcomes are more sensitive to lower magnitude differences in the input data (inches of SWE, as an example) than they would be in an environment receiving more robust water year totals.

Reflecting water year-to-date climate measurements, forecast volumes at the 50% exceedance probability are holding higher relative to normal than elsewhere in the state for forecast points throughout the forecast points in the Rio Grande Headwaters basin (at 87% of normal), the San Juan basin (at 72% of normal) and the Canadian basin (at 70% of normal). Well to extremely below normal flows are currently projected for the Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande and Pecos basins, with the lowest flow volumes relative to reference period normals forecast for the Lower Rio Grande, Gila- San Francisco and Zuni river systems.


January 2025 monthly adjusted *observed* streamflow volumes were again notably high in the Pecos basin in New Mexico, at 140% of normal and are reflected in the robust storage volumes retained in the Pecos reservoirs. The remaining forecast basins throughout the state saw a range of below normal observed flows through January, with the Zuni basin standing out at a mere 17% of reference period normal flows. Winter season flow volumes, in the absence of notable precipitation events, generally reflect water management decisions and re- allocation between storage facilities as opposed to new surface water entering the water cycle in a given watershed basin.

A graphic representation of the official February 1, 2025, NRCS Water Supply Forecast showing the primary forecast period for each forecast point is included below. These color-coded charts illustrate the range between the 90% (most likely to be exceeded, lower end volume) and 10% (least likely to be exceeded, higher end volume) exceedance probability forecasts for each point. These [NWCC Seasonal Volume Forecast Plots](#)⁵ are available to query by publication month for all official streamflow forecasts produced by the NRCS National Water and Climate Center. The greater the range between the low and high probability flows, the more uncertainty exists for a given forecast. If the extremely low statewide snowpack and precipitation trends seen as of February 1 continue, the lower flow ranges at the 70% or even the 90% exceedance probability can be expected to more closely reflect streamflow outcomes during the runoff period. Even throughout the entire streamflow forecast season, future weather remains one of the largest sources of model uncertainty, so it is always valuable to consider the full range of possible outcomes for any given forecast point. Forecast certainty can be expected to improve and the associated range of statistically probable flow volumes should thereby decrease as seasonal conditions are accounted for in the forecast model throughout the winter. The final forecast prior to runoff initiation for each forecast point generally has the best forecast skill, as there is less uncertainty remaining in the forecast inputs.

Snow Water Equivalent

Basin Wide Snow Water Equivalent
Percent NRCS 1991-2020 Median

End of January, 2025



Snowpack Summary For February 1, 2025

Canadian Headwaters	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Aztec #2	SC	9880	3	0.4	2.3	17%	1.6	70%
Hematite Park	SC	9500	4	0.6	3.2	19%	2.3	72%
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	9	1.0	4.7	21%	2.1	45%
Palo	SC	9300	6	1.2	4.1	29%	4.3	105%
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	7	2.0	3.9	51%	4.0	103%
Red River Pass #2	SNOTEL	9855	11	1.9	5.0	38%	3.5	70%
Shuree	SNOTEL	10092	16	3.7	3.7	100%	3.6	97%
Taos Canyon	SC	9100	4	0.8	3.7	22%	3.3	89%
Taos Pueblo	SNOTEL	11020	14	3.9			7.3	
Tolby	SNOTEL	10220	16	3.7	5.1	73%	5.1	100%
Basin Index						43%	83%	
# of sites						9	9	

Gila-San Francisco	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Beaver Head	SNOTEL	8076	0	0.4	2.2	18%	2.4	109%
Coronado Trail	SNOTEL	8418	1	0.2	2.4	8%	3.1	129%
Coronado Trail	SC	8350	0	0.0	1.2	0%	3.2	267%
Frisco Divide	SNOTEL	8013	0	0.0	2.0	0%	2.7	135%
Hannagan Meadows	SNOTEL	9027	0	1.0	7.2	14%	5.3	74%
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8509	0	0.0	1.5	0%	0.0	0%
Nutriosio	SC	8500	0	0.0	0.8	0%	1.0	125%
Nutriosio	SNOTEL	8571	1	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0	0.0	2.6	0%	2.2	85%
Silver Creek Divide	SNOTEL	9096	7	1.7	5.7	30%	6.9	121%
State Line	SC	8000	0	0.0	1.6	0%	1.3	81%

Basin Index	12%	103%
# of sites	11	11

San Francisco	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Beaver Head	SNOTEL	8076	0	0.4	2.2	18%	2.4	109%
Coronado Trail	SNOTEL	8418	1	0.2	2.4	8%	3.1	129%
Coronado Trail	SC	8350	0	0.0	1.2	0%	3.2	267%
Frisco Divide	SNOTEL	8013	0	0.0	2.0	0%	2.7	135%
Hannagan Meadows	SNOTEL	9027	0	1.0	7.2	14%	5.3	74%
Nutriosio	SC	8500	0	0.0	0.8	0%	1.0	125%
Nutriosio	SNOTEL	8571	1	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Silver Creek Divide	SNOTEL	9096	7	1.7	5.7	30%	6.9	121%
State Line	SC	8000	0	0.0	1.6	0%	1.3	81%

Basin Index	14%	112%
# of sites	9	9

Upper Gila	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8509	0	0.0	1.5	0%	0.0	0%
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0	0.0	2.6	0%	2.2	85%
Silver Creek Divide	SNOTEL	9096	7	1.7	5.7	30%	6.9	121%

Basin Index	17%	93%
# of sites	3	3

Lower Rio Grande	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Boon	SC	8140	0	0.0	2.8	0%	4.8	171%
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	3	0.5	2.7	19%	4.4	163%
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	4	1.3			6.4	
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8509	0	0.0	1.5	0%	0.0	0%
Mcknight Cabin	SNOTEL	9242	0	0.0	2.5	0%	2.4	96%
Ojo Redondo	SC	8200	0	0.0	2.4	0%	2.8	117%
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	4	1.4	6.0	23%	7.0	117%
Rice Park	SNOTEL	8497	2	0.4	4.4	9%	5.6	127%
Rio En Medio	SC	10300	6	0.9	6.4	14%	6.0	94%
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	22	4.5	8.6	52%	10.2	119%
Senorita Divide #2	SNOTEL	8569	0	0.0	5.3	0%	6.7	126%
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0	0.0	2.6	0%	2.2	85%
Vacas Locas	SNOTEL	9364	10	2.2	7.3	30%	8.3	114%
Basin Index						19%	115%	
# of sites						12	12	
Jemez	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	4	1.3			6.4	
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	4	1.4	6.0	23%	7.0	117%
Senorita Divide #2	SNOTEL	8569	0	0.0	5.3	0%	6.7	126%
Vacas Locas	SNOTEL	9364	10	2.2	7.3	30%	8.3	114%
Basin Index						19%	118%	
# of sites						3	3	
Mimbres	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Mcknight Cabin	SNOTEL	9242	0	0.0	2.5	0%	2.4	96%
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0	0.0	2.6	0%	2.2	85%
Basin Index						0%	90%	
# of sites						2	2	
Pecos	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	3	0.5	2.7	19%	4.4	163%
PanchueLa	SC	8400	0	0.0	2.4	0%	4.0	167%
Rio En Medio	SC	10300	6	0.9	6.4	14%	6.0	94%
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	22	4.5	8.6	52%	10.2	119%
Sierra Blanca	SNOTEL	10268	2	0.6	5.7	11%	2.4	42%
Wesner Springs	SNOTEL	11151	18	4.8	9.2	52%	8.2	89%
Basin Index						32%	101%	
# of sites						6	6	
Pecos Headwaters	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	3	0.5	2.7	19%	4.4	163%
PanchueLa	SC	8400	0	0.0	2.4	0%	4.0	167%
Rio En Medio	SC	10300	6	0.9	6.4	14%	6.0	94%
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	22	4.5	8.6	52%	10.2	119%
Wesner Springs	SNOTEL	11151	18	4.8	9.2	52%	8.2	89%
Basin Index						37%	112%	
# of sites						5	5	
Rio Hondo	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Sierra Blanca	SNOTEL	10268	2	0.6	5.7	11%	2.4	42%
Basin Index						11%	42%	
# of sites						1	1	

Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Bateman	SNOTEL	9249	15	3.2	6.8	47%	6.2	91%
Chamita	SNOTEL	8383	3	1.1	6.4	17%	5.1	80%
Cumbres Trestle	SNOTEL	10035	35	7.5	14.6	51%	10.4	71%
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	3	0.5	2.7	19%	4.4	163%
Gallegos Peak	SNOTEL	9480	25	3.6	6.3	57%	6.3	100%
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	4	1.3			6.4	
Hematite Park	SC	9500	4	0.6	3.2	19%	2.3	72%
Hopewell	SNOTEL	10095	24	4.6	9.6	48%	6.8	71%
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	9	1.0	4.7	21%	2.1	45%
Palo	SC	9300	6	1.2	4.1	29%	4.3	105%
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	7	2.0	3.9	51%	4.0	103%
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	4	1.4	6.0	23%	7.0	117%
Red River Pass #2	SNOTEL	9855	11	1.9	5.0	38%	3.5	70%
Rio En Medio	SC	10300	6	0.9	6.4	14%	6.0	94%
Rio Santa Barbara	SNOTEL	10664	30	3.9			7.4	
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	22	4.5	8.6	52%	10.2	119%
Shuree	SNOTEL	10092	16	3.7	3.7	100%	3.6	97%
Taos Canyon	SC	9100	4	0.8	3.7	22%	3.3	89%
Taos Powderhorn	SC	11250	42	6.3	14.2	44%	9.7	68%
Taos Powderhorn	SNOTEL	11045	30	5.5	9.6	57%	7.7	80%
Taos Pueblo	SNOTEL	11020	14	3.9			7.3	
Tres Ritos	SNOTEL	8755	2	0.8	2.6	31%	3.8	146%
Basin Index						42%		87%
# of sites						19		19

[illegible]

Upper Rio Grande	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	3	0.5	2.7	19%	4.4	163%
Gallegos Peak	SNOTEL	9480	25	3.6	6.3	57%	6.3	100%
Hematite Park	SC	9500	4	0.6	3.2	19%	2.3	72%
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	9	1.0	4.7	21%	2.1	45%
Palo	SC	9300	6	1.2	4.1	29%	4.3	105%
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	7	2.0	3.9	51%	4.0	103%
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	4	1.4	6.0	23%	7.0	117%
Red River Pass #2	SNOTEL	9855	11	1.9	5.0	38%	3.5	70%
Rio En Medio	SC	10300	6	0.9	6.4	14%	6.0	94%
Rio Santa Barbara	SNOTEL	10664	30	3.9			7.4	
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	22	4.5	8.6	52%	10.2	119%
Shuree	SNOTEL	10092	16	3.7	3.7	100%	3.6	97%
Taos Canyon	SC	9100	4	0.8	3.7	22%	3.3	89%
Taos Powderhorn	SC	11250	42	6.3	14.2	44%	9.7	68%
Taos Powderhorn	SNOTEL	11045	30	5.5	9.6	57%	7.7	80%
Taos Pueblo	SNOTEL	11020	14	3.9			7.3	
Tres Ritos	SNOTEL	8755	2	0.8	2.6	31%	3.8	146%
Basin Index						41%	92%	
# of sites						15	15	

Conejos	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Cumbres Trestle	SNOTEL	10035	35	7.5	14.6	51%	10.4	71%
Lily Pond	SNOTEL	11069	20	4.4	8.0	55%	5.4	68%
Pinos Mill	SC	10000	26	6.4	13.0	49%	7.8	60%
Platoro	SC	9880	23	5.3	7.6	70%	4.7	62%
San Antonio Sink	SNOTEL	9143	15	3.4			4.3	
San Antonio Sink	SC	9200	13	2.7	5.0	54%	2.3	46%
Basin Index						55%		63%
# of sites						5		5

Headwaters Rio Grande	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Beartown	SNOTEL	11600	42	10.4	12.6	83%	9.0	71%
Grayback	SC	11600			8.2			
Grayback	SNOTEL	11626	13	3.8			2.6	
Middle Creek	SNOTEL	11269	31	7.3	11.8	62%	7.5	64%
Pool Table Mountain	SC	9840			3.2		1.0	31%
Porcupine	SC	10280			5.0		3.1	62%
Rat Creek	SNOTEL	11680	28	8.3				
Slumgullion	SNOTEL	11560	37	8.1	8.8	92%	6.2	70%
Upper Rio Grande	SNOTEL	9379	15	2.9	4.0	73%	3.7	93%
Wager Gulch	SNOTEL	11132	31	8.0			4.5	
Wolf Creek Summit	SNOTEL	10957	44	11.8	19.2	61%	12.7	66%
Basin Index						72%	69%	
# of sites						5	5	

San Juan	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Beartown	SNOTEL	11600	42	10.4	12.6	83%	9.0	71%
Beaver Spring	SC	9220	2	0.3	7.7	4%	8.0	104%
Beaver Spring	SNOTEL	9255	2	0.5	6.9	7%	8.3	120%
Bowl Canyon	SC	8980	0	0.0	6.0	0%	7.4	123%
Cascade #2	SNOTEL	9012	12	4.0	6.9	58%	5.7	83%
Columbus Basin	SNOTEL	10781	38	10.2	14.9	68%	9.0	60%
Hidden Valley	SC	8480	3	0.4	5.2	8%	7.7	148%
Lemon Reservoir	SC	8700	4	0.6	5.7	11%	5.5	96%
Mancos	SNOTEL	10044	17	3.4	9.6	35%	7.5	78%
Mineral Creek	SNOTEL	10046	33	7.8	9.0	87%	6.3	70%
Missionary Spring	SC	7940	0	0.0	2.8	0%	3.4	121%
Molas Lake	SNOTEL	10631	34	8.9	10.6	84%	6.9	65%
Navajo Whiskey Ck	SNOTEL	9064	0	0.0	6.8	0%	7.7	113%
Red Mountain Pass	SNOTEL	11080	50	12.7	13.2	96%	10.5	80%
Sharkstooth	SNOTEL	10747	31	5.2	11.4	46%	7.4	65%
Spud Mountain	SNOTEL	10674	42	9.2	14.9	62%	10.1	68%
Stump Lakes	SNOTEL	11248	38	9.0	11.2	80%	9.1	81%
Tsaile Canyon #1	SC	8160	3	0.3	5.0	6%	7.9	158%
Tsaile Canyon #3	SC	8920	4	0.6	6.6	9%	7.0	106%
Upper San Juan	SC	10200			20.3			
Upper San Juan	SNOTEL	10140	32	7.2	17.6	41%	12.0	68%
Vallecito	SNOTEL	10782	29	5.0	9.8	51%	8.1	83%
Weminuche Creek	SNOTEL	10749	33	6.9	10.4	66%	9.3	89%
Whiskey Creek	SC	9050	0	0.0	6.6	0%	7.2	109%
Wolf Creek Summit	SNOTEL	10957	44	11.8	19.2	61%	12.7	66%
Basin Index						50%		84%
# of sites						24		24

San Juan Headwaters	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Beartown	SNOTEL	11600	42	10.4	12.6	83%	9.0	71%
Cascade #2	SNOTEL	9012	12	4.0	6.9	58%	5.7	83%
Columbus Basin	SNOTEL	10781	38	10.2	14.9	68%	9.0	60%
Lemon Reservoir	SC	8700	4	0.6	5.7	11%	5.5	96%
Mineral Creek	SNOTEL	10046	33	7.8	9.0	87%	6.3	70%
Molas Lake	SNOTEL	10631	34	8.9	10.6	84%	6.9	65%
Red Mountain Pass	SNOTEL	11080	50	12.7	13.2	96%	10.5	80%
Spud Mountain	SNOTEL	10674	42	9.2	14.9	62%	10.1	68%
Stump Lakes	SNOTEL	11248	38	9.0	11.2	80%	9.1	81%
Upper San Juan	SNOTEL	10140	32	7.2	17.6	41%	12.0	68%
Upper San Juan	SC	10200			20.3			
Vallecito	SNOTEL	10782	29	5.0	9.8	51%	8.1	83%
Weminuche Creek	SNOTEL	10749	33	6.9	10.4	66%	9.3	89%
Wolf Creek Summit	SNOTEL	10957	44	11.8	19.2	61%	12.7	66%
Basin Index						66%	73%	
# of sites						13	13	

Zuni	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Boon	SC	8140	0	0.0	2.8	0%	4.8	171%
Dan Valley	SC	7640	0	0.0	2.0	0%	4.4	220%
McGaffey	SC	8120	0	0.0	1.2	0%	2.8	233%
Basin Index						0%		200%
	# of sites					3		3

Basinwide Summary: February 1, 2025
(Medians based On 1991-2020 reference period)


Snowpack Summary For February 1, 2025

State of New Mexico	Network	Elevation (ft)	Depth (in)	SWE (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year SWE (in)	Last Year % Median
Aztec #2	SC	9880	3	0.4	2.3	17%	1.6	70%
Bateman	SNOTEL	9249	15	3.2	6.8	47%	6.2	91%
Beartown	SNOTEL	11600	42	10.4	12.6	83%	9.0	71%
Beaver Head	SNOTEL	8076	0	0.4	2.2	18%	2.4	109%
Beaver Spring	SNOTEL	9255	2	0.5	6.9	7%	8.3	120%
Beaver Spring	SC	9220	2	0.3	7.7	4%	8.0	104%
Boon	SC	8140	0	0.0	2.8	0%	4.8	171%
Bowl Canyon	SC	8980	0	0.0	6.0	0%	7.4	123%
Cascade #2	SNOTEL	9012	12	4.0	6.9	58%	5.7	83%
Chamita	SNOTEL	8383	3	1.1	6.4	17%	5.1	80%
Cochetopa Pass	SNOTEL	10061	20	3.8	3.0	127%	2.5	83%
Cochetopa Pass	SC	10000			3.2			
Columbus Basin	SNOTEL	10781	38	10.2	14.9	68%	9.0	60%
Coronado Trail	SC	8350	0	0.0	1.2	0%	3.2	267%
Coronado Trail	SNOTEL	8418	1	0.2	2.4	8%	3.1	129%
Culebra #2	SNOTEL	10562	34	6.0	7.4	81%	5.6	76%
Cumbres Trestle	SNOTEL	10035	35	7.5	14.6	51%	10.4	71%
Dan Valley	SC	7640	0	0.0	2.0	0%	4.4	220%
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	3	0.5	2.7	19%	4.4	163%
Elwood Pass	SNOTEL	11680	37	10.3				
Frisco Divide	SNOTEL	8013	0	0.0	2.0	0%	2.7	135%
Gallegos Peak	SNOTEL	9480	25	3.6	6.3	57%	6.3	100%
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	4	1.3			6.4	
Grayback	SNOTEL	11626	13	3.8			2.6	
Grayback	SC	11600			8.2			
Hannagan Meadows	SNOTEL	9027	0	1.0	7.2	14%	5.3	74%
Hayden Pass	SNOTEL	10699	26	7.8	9.6	81%	4.9	51%
Hematite Park	SC	9500	4	0.6	3.2	19%	2.3	72%
Hidden Valley	SC	8480	3	0.4	5.2	8%	7.7	148%
Hopewell	SNOTEL	10095	24	4.6	9.6	48%	6.8	71%
La Veta Pass	SC	9440	19	4.5	5.4	83%	5.1	94%
Lemon Reservoir	SC	8700	4	0.6	5.7	11%	5.5	96%
Lily Pond	SNOTEL	11069	20	4.4	8.0	55%	5.4	68%
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8509	0	0.0	1.5	0%	0.0	0%
Mancos	SNOTEL	10044	17	3.4	9.6	35%	7.5	78%
Mcgaffey	SC	8120	0	0.0	1.2	0%	2.8	233%
Mcknight Cabin	SNOTEL	9242	0	0.0	2.5	0%	2.4	96%
Medano Pass	SNOTEL	9668	16	3.5	3.9	90%	1.7	44%
Middle Creek	SNOTEL	11269	31	7.3	11.8	62%	7.5	64%
Mineral Creek	SNOTEL	10046	33	7.8	9.0	87%	6.3	70%
Missionary Spring	SC	7940	0	0.0	2.8	0%	3.4	121%
Molas Lake	SNOTEL	10631	34	8.9	10.6	84%	6.9	65%
Moon Pass	SNOTEL	11128	18	4.7	4.0	118%	2.7	68%
Navajo Whiskey Ck	SNOTEL	9064	0	0.0	6.8	0%	7.7	113%
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	9	1.0	4.7	21%	2.1	45%
Nutriosio	SNOTEL	8571	1	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Nutriosio	SC	8500	0	0.0	0.8	0%	1.0	125%
Ojo Redondo	SC	8200	0	0.0	2.4	0%	2.8	117%
Palo	SC	9300	6	1.2	4.1	29%	4.3	105%
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	7	2.0	3.9	51%	4.0	103%
PanchueLa	SC	8400	0	0.0	2.4	0%	4.0	167%
Pinos Mill	SC	10000	26	6.4	13.0	49%	7.8	60%
Platoro	SC	9880	23	5.3	7.6	70%	4.7	62%
Pool Table Mountain	SC	9840			3.2		1.0	31%

Water Year to Date Precipitation

Basin Wide Water Year Cumulative
Precipitation
Percent NRCS 1991-2020 Median

October 1, 2024 -
January 31, 2025



Basinwide Summary: February 1, 2025
(Medians based On 1991-2020 reference period)

Basinwide Summary: February 1, 2025 (Medians based On 1991-2020 reference period)			Monthly Total Precipitation For January 2025						Water Year To Date Precipitation through January 2025				
Canadian	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	1.8	1.4	129%	1.6	114%	8.4	7.7	109%	5.2	68%	
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	1	1	100%	1.8	180%	6.6	6.5	102%	4.8	74%	
Red River Pass #2	SNOTEL	9855	1	1.2	83%	1.1	92%	6.7	6.3	106%	3.7	59%	
Shuree	SNOTEL	10092	1.7	1	170%	1.2	120%	6.7	5.6	120%	3.9	70%	
Taos Pueblo	SNOTEL	11020	1.3			3.7		8.4			8.6		
Tolby	SNOTEL	10220	0.9	1.3	69%	2.3	177%	10.2	8.3	123%	5.2	63%	
Wesner Springs	SNOTEL	11151	1.1	2.1	52%	2.8	133%	11.9	11	108%	9.1	83%	
Basin Index			94%					111%					70%
# of sites			6					6					6
Canadian Headwaters	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	1.8	1.4	129%	1.6	114%	8.4	7.7	109%	5.2	68%	
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	1	1	100%	1.8	180%	6.6	6.5	102%	4.8	74%	
Red River Pass #2	SNOTEL	9855	1	1.2	83%	1.1	92%	6.7	6.3	106%	3.7	59%	
Shuree	SNOTEL	10092	1.7	1	170%	1.2	120%	6.7	5.6	120%	3.9	70%	
Taos Pueblo	SNOTEL	11020	1.3			3.7		8.4			8.6		
Tolby	SNOTEL	10220	0.9	1.3	69%	2.3	177%	10.2	8.3	123%	5.2	63%	
Basin Index			108%					112%					66%
# of sites			5					5					5
Gila-San Francisco	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	
Beaver Head	SNOTEL	8076	0			2.1		1			5.6		
Coronado Trail	SNOTEL	8418	0.1	1.2	8%	1.7	142%	1.5	6.8	22%	5.3	78%	
Frisco Divide	SNOTEL	8013	0.2	0.9	22%	1.4	156%	2.3	5.6	41%	4.2	75%	
Hannagan Meadows	SNOTEL	9027	0.2	2.2	9%	3.1	141%	1.9	11	17%	8.8	80%	
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8509	0.5	0.9	56%	1.6	178%	2.8	5.6	50%	4.9	88%	
Nutriso	SNOTEL	8571	0.1	0.8	13%	2.2	275%	1.3	4.9	27%	5	102%	
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0.3	1.5	20%	3	200%	1.8	9	20%	6	67%	
Silver Creek Divide	SNOTEL	9096	0.8	1.8	44%	3.3	183%	2.4	10	24%	9.1	91%	
Basin Index			24%					26%					82%
# of sites			7					7					7
San Francisco	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	
Beaver Head	SNOTEL	8076	0			2.1		1			5.6		
Coronado Trail	SNOTEL	8418	0.1	1.2	8%	1.7	142%	1.5	6.8	22%	5.3	78%	
Frisco Divide	SNOTEL	8013	0.2	0.9	22%	1.4	156%	2.3	5.6	41%	4.2	75%	
Hannagan Meadows	SNOTEL	9027	0.2	2.2	9%	3.1	141%	1.9	11	17%	8.8	80%	
Nutriso	SNOTEL	8571	0.1	0.8	13%	2.2	275%	1.3	4.9	27%	5	102%	
Silver Creek Divide	SNOTEL	9096	0.8	1.8	44%	3.3	183%	2.4	10	24%	9.1	91%	
Basin Index			20%					25%					85%
# of sites			5					5					5
Upper Gila	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8509	0.5	0.9	56%	1.6	178%	2.8	5.6	50%	4.9	88%	
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0.3	1.5	20%	3	200%	1.8	9	20%	6	67%	
Silver Creek Divide	SNOTEL	9096	0.8	1.8	44%	3.3	183%	2.4	10	24%	9.1	91%	
Basin Index			38%					28%					81%
# of sites			3					3					3
Lower Rio Grande	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	0.8	1.4	57%	2.1	150%	5.4	6.4	84%	7.2	113%	
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	0.5			2.6		5.9			7.4		
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8509	0.5	0.9	56%	1.6	178%	2.8	5.6	50%	4.9	88%	
Mcknight Cabin	SNOTEL	9242	0.4	1.2	33%	1.8	150%	2.4	6.4	38%	4.8	75%	
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	0.6	1.2	50%	2.3	192%	6.4	8.8	73%	7.6	86%	
Rice Park	SNOTEL	8497	0.2	1.4	14%	3.1	221%	4.7	6.8	69%	7.6	112%	
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	1.6	2.4	67%	3.8	158%	6.9	11.3	61%	10.3	91%	
Senorita Divide #2	SNOTEL	8569	0.6	2	30%	3.6	180%	4.5	9	50%	9.6	107%	
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0.3	1.5	20%	3	200%	1.8	9	20%	6	67%	
Vacas Locas	SNOTEL	9364	0.7	1.9	37%	3.5	184%	4.5	9.3	48%	8.9	96%	
Basin Index			41%					54%					92%
# of sites			9					9					9
Jemez	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	0.5			2.6		5.9			7.4		
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	0.6	1.2	50%	2.3	192%	6.4	8.8	73%	7.6	86%	
Senorita Divide #2	SNOTEL	8569	0.6	2	30%	3.6	180%	4.5	9	50%	9.6	107%	
Vacas Locas	SNOTEL	9364	0.7	1.9	37%	3.5	184%	4.5	9.3	48%	8.9	96%	
Basin Index			37%					57%					96%
# of sites			3					3					3

Mimbres	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Mcknight Cabin	SNOTEL	9242	0.4	1.2	33%	1.8	150%	2.4	6.4	38%	4.8	75%
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0.3	1.5	20%	3	200%	1.8	9	20%	6	67%
Basin Index					26%		178%			27%		70%
# of sites					2		2			2		2
Pecos	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	0.8	1.4	57%	2.1	150%	5.4	6.4	84%	7.2	113%
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	1.6	2.4	67%	3.8	158%	6.9	11.3	61%	10.3	91%
Sierra Blanca	SNOTEL	10268	0.6	1.6	38%	4	250%	7	9.8	71%	9	92%
Wesner Springs	SNOTEL	11151	1.1	2.1	52%	2.8	133%	11.9	11	108%	9.1	83%
Basin Index					55%		169%			81%		92%
# of sites					4		4			4		4
Pecos Headwaters	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	0.8	1.4	57%	2.1	150%	5.4	6.4	84%	7.2	113%
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	1.6	2.4	67%	3.8	158%	6.9	11.3	61%	10.3	91%
Wesner Springs	SNOTEL	11151	1.1	2.1	52%	2.8	133%	11.9	11	108%	9.1	83%
Basin Index					59%		147%			84%		93%
# of sites					3		3			3		3
Rio Hondo	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Sierra Blanca	SNOTEL	10268	0.6	1.6	38%	4	250%	7	9.8	71%	9	92%
Basin Index					38%		250%			71%		92%
# of sites					1		1			1		1
Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Bateman	SNOTEL	9249	0.8	2	40%	2.6	130%	5.2	8.6	60%	6.2	72%
Chamita	SNOTEL	8383	0.4	2.2	18%	2.2	100%	4.1	8.3	49%	5.2	63%
Cumbres Trestle	SNOTEL	10035	1	3.6	28%	4.1	114%	9.1	15.2	60%	9.6	63%
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	0.8	1.4	57%	2.1	150%	5.4	6.4	84%	7.2	113%
Gallegos Peak	SNOTEL	9480	2	2.1	95%	3.1	148%	9.1	9.2	99%	6.5	71%
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	0.5			2.6		5.9			7.4	
Hopewell	SNOTEL	10095	0.9	2.9	31%	3.7	128%	6.5	10.8	60%	7.5	69%
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	1.8	1.4	129%	1.6	114%	8.4	7.7	109%	5.2	68%
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	1	1	100%	1.8	180%	6.6	6.5	102%	4.8	74%
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	0.6	1.2	50%	2.3	192%	6.4	8.8	73%	7.6	86%
Red River Pass #2	SNOTEL	9855	1	1.2	83%	1.1	92%	6.7	6.3	106%	3.7	59%
Rio Santa Barbara	SNOTEL	10664	1.9			3.2		8.4			7.4	
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	1.6	2.4	67%	3.8	158%	6.9	11.3	61%	10.3	91%
Shuree	SNOTEL	10092	1.7	1	170%	1.2	120%	6.7	5.6	120%	3.9	70%
Taos Powderhorn	SNOTEL	11045	1.9	2.8	68%	3.6	129%	10.7	12.7	84%	9.6	76%
Taos Pueblo	SNOTEL	11020	1.3			3.7		8.4			8.6	
Tres Ritos	SNOTEL	8755	1.2	1.4	86%	2	143%	9.2	7.4	124%	5.5	74%
Basin Index					63%		132%			81%		74%
# of sites					14		14			14		14
Rio Chama	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Bateman	SNOTEL	9249	0.8	2	40%	2.6	130%	5.2	8.6	60%	6.2	72%
Chamita	SNOTEL	8383	0.4	2.2	18%	2.2	100%	4.1	8.3	49%	5.2	63%
Cumbres Trestle	SNOTEL	10035	1	3.6	28%	4.1	114%	9.1	15.2	60%	9.6	63%
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	0.5			2.6		5.9			7.4	
Hopewell	SNOTEL	10095	0.9	2.9	31%	3.7	128%	6.5	10.8	60%	7.5	69%
Basin Index					29%		118%			58%		66%
# of sites					4		4			4		4
Upper Rio Grande	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	0.8	1.4	57%	2.1	150%	5.4	6.4	84%	7.2	113%
Gallegos Peak	SNOTEL	9480	2	2.1	95%	3.1	148%	9.1	9.2	99%	6.5	71%
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	1.8	1.4	129%	1.6	114%	8.4	7.7	109%	5.2	68%
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	1	1	100%	1.8	180%	6.6	6.5	102%	4.8	74%
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	0.6	1.2	50%	2.3	192%	6.4	8.8	73%	7.6	86%
Red River Pass #2	SNOTEL	9855	1	1.2	83%	1.1	92%	6.7	6.3	106%	3.7	59%
Rio Santa Barbara	SNOTEL	10664	1.9			3.2		8.4			7.4	
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	1.6	2.4	67%	3.8	158%	6.9	11.3	61%	10.3	91%
Shuree	SNOTEL	10092	1.7	1	170%	1.2	120%	6.7	5.6	120%	3.9	70%
Taos Powderhorn	SNOTEL	11045	1.9	2.8	68%	3.6	129%	10.7	12.7	84%	9.6	76%
Taos Pueblo	SNOTEL	11020	1.3			3.7		8.4			8.6	
Tres Ritos	SNOTEL	8755	1.2	1.4	86%	2	143%	9.2	7.4	124%	5.5	74%
Basin Index					86%		142%			93%		79%
# of sites					10		10			10		10

Rio Grande Headwaters		Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Beartown		SNOTEL	11600	1.6	3.2	50%	4.2	131%	14.2	15.6	91%	11.4	73%
Cochetopa Pass		SNOTEL	10061	0.9	0.8	113%	1.4	175%	4.4	4.5	98%	3.5	78%
Culebra #2		SNOTEL	10562	1.8	1.6	113%	1.3	81%	7.1	7.7	92%	4.6	60%
Cumbres Trestle		SNOTEL	10035	1	3.6	28%	4.1	114%	9.1	15.2	60%	9.6	63%
Elwood Pass		SNOTEL	11680	0.8					12.4				
Grayback		SNOTEL	11626	0.9	2.2	41%	1.9	86%	8.4	9.8	86%	7.1	72%
Hayden Pass		SNOTEL	10699	0.9	1.8	50%	2.5	139%	8	8.6	93%	6.6	77%
Lily Pond		SNOTEL	11069	1.4	2.2	64%	2.2	100%	9.9	11.2	88%	8	71%
Medano Pass		SNOTEL	9668	1.3	1.3	100%	1.6	123%	7.2	6.3	114%	5.2	83%
Middle Creek		SNOTEL	11269	0.7	2.4	29%	2	83%	10.1	15	67%	10.1	67%
Moon Pass		SNOTEL	11128	0.7	1.2	58%	1.3	108%	5.7	5	114%	4.4	88%
North Costilla		SNOTEL	10598	1.8	1.4	129%	1.6	114%	8.4	7.7	109%	5.2	68%
Rat Creek		SNOTEL	11680	1.2					9.7				
San Antonio Sink		SNOTEL	9143	0.5			1.7		6.9			4.6	
Sargents Mesa		SNOTEL	11499	1.2	1.6	75%	3.1	194%	6.6	7.9	84%	6	76%
Slumgullion		SNOTEL	11560	1.1	1.8	61%	2	111%	8.5	8.4	101%	7.2	86%
Trinchera		SNOTEL	10922	1.8	1.3	138%	1.5	115%	7.6	7	109%	4.3	61%
Upper Rio Grande		SNOTEL	9379	0.1	1	10%	1	100%	6.4	6.6	97%	5.9	89%
Ute Creek		SNOTEL	10734	1.8	1.8	100%	2.7	150%	9	8.6	105%	6.4	74%
Wager Gulch		SNOTEL	11132	1.3			1.8		9.7			6.3	
Wolf Creek Summit		SNOTEL	10957	0.7	3.8	18%	4.5	118%	11.2	20.4	55%	12.4	61%
Basin Index						60%		118%			86%		71%
# of sites							17					17	
Alamosa		Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Elwood Pass		SNOTEL	11680	0.8					12.4				
Grayback		SNOTEL	11626	0.9	2.2	41%	1.9	86%	8.4	9.8	86%	7.1	72%
Lily Pond		SNOTEL	11069	1.4	2.2	64%	2.2	100%	9.9	11.2	88%	8	71%
Basin Index						52%		93%			87%		72%
# of sites							2					2	
Conejos		Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Cumbres Trestle		SNOTEL	10035	1	3.6	28%	4.1	114%	9.1	15.2	60%	9.6	63%
Lily Pond		SNOTEL	11069	1.4	2.2	64%	2.2	100%	9.9	11.2	88%	8	71%
San Antonio Sink		SNOTEL	9143	0.5			1.7		6.9			4.6	
Basin Index						41%		109%			72%		67%
# of sites							2					2	
Culebra-Trinchera		Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Culebra #2		SNOTEL	10562	1.8	1.6	113%	1.3	81%	7.1	7.7	92%	4.6	60%
Trinchera		SNOTEL	10922	1.8	1.3	138%	1.5	115%	7.6	7	109%	4.3	61%
Ute Creek		SNOTEL	10734	1.8	1.8	100%	2.7	150%	9	8.6	105%	6.4	74%
Basin Index						115%		117%			102%		66%
# of sites							3					3	
Headwaters Rio Grande		Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Beartown		SNOTEL	11600	1.6	3.2	50%	4.2	131%	14.2	15.6	91%	11.4	73%
Grayback		SNOTEL	11626	0.9	2.2	41%	1.9	86%	8.4	9.8	86%	7.1	72%
Middle Creek		SNOTEL	11269	0.7	2.4	29%	2	83%	10.1	15	67%	10.1	67%
Rat Creek		SNOTEL	11680	1.2					9.7				
Slumgullion		SNOTEL	11560	1.1	1.8	61%	2	111%	8.5	8.4	101%	7.2	86%
Upper Rio Grande		SNOTEL	9379	0.1	1	10%	1	100%	6.4	6.6	97%	5.9	89%
Wager Gulch		SNOTEL	11132	1.3			1.8		9.7			6.3	
Wolf Creek Summit		SNOTEL	10957	0.7	3.8	18%	4.5	118%	11.2	20.4	55%	12.4	61%
Basin Index						35%		108%			78%		71%
# of sites							6					6	

San Juan	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Beartown	SNOTEL	11600	1.6	3.2	50%	4.2	131%	14.2	15.6	91%	11.4	73%
Beaver Spring	SNOTEL	9255	0.4	2.4	17%	5.2	217%	3.8	10.7	36%	10.4	97%
Cascade #2	SNOTEL	9012	0.8	2.8	29%	2.7	96%	9.3	11.3	82%	7	62%
Columbus Basin	SNOTEL	10781	1.2	4.1	29%	4.2	102%	13.4	18.2	74%	10.2	56%
Mancos	SNOTEL	10044	0.8	2.8	29%	2.6	93%	7.7	10.2	75%	7.2	71%
Mineral Creek	SNOTEL	10046	1.4	2.6	54%	3.1	119%	11.2	10.8	104%	8.5	79%
Molas Lake	SNOTEL	10631	1.2	3.1	39%	3.6	116%	11.4	12.3	93%	9	73%
Navajo Whiskey Ck	SNOTEL	9064	0.2	2.4	8%	4.3	179%	4.1	8.2	50%	8.5	104%
Red Mountain Pass	SNOTEL	11080	3	4.2	71%	4.6	110%	15	15.8	95%	12.5	79%
Sharkstooth	SNOTEL	10747	0.8	3.2	25%	3.2	100%	10.3	13.8	75%	9.2	67%
Spud Mountain	SNOTEL	10674	1.3	4.6	28%	4.7	102%	13	17.2	76%	11.3	66%
Stump Lakes	SNOTEL	11248	1	2.2	45%	3.7	168%	10.7	12.1	88%	9.9	82%
Upper San Juan	SNOTEL	10140	0.8	4.2	19%	5.6	133%	11.3	21.7	52%	14.9	69%
Vallecito	SNOTEL	10782	0.9	2.3	39%	2.6	113%	8.4	12.2	69%	7.8	64%
Weminuche Creek	SNOTEL	10749	1.1	2.6	42%	3.6	138%	9.9	15	66%	10.8	72%
Wolf Creek Summit	SNOTEL	10957	0.7	3.8	18%	4.5	118%	11.2	20.4	55%	12.4	61%
Basin Index					34%		124%			73%		71%
# of sites					16		16			16		16
San Juan Headwaters	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Beartown	SNOTEL	11600	1.6	3.2	50%	4.2	131%	14.2	15.6	91%	11.4	73%
Cascade #2	SNOTEL	9012	0.8	2.8	29%	2.7	96%	9.3	11.3	82%	7	62%
Columbus Basin	SNOTEL	10781	1.2	4.1	29%	4.2	102%	13.4	18.2	74%	10.2	56%
Mineral Creek	SNOTEL	10046	1.4	2.6	54%	3.1	119%	11.2	10.8	104%	8.5	79%
Molas Lake	SNOTEL	10631	1.2	3.1	39%	3.6	116%	11.4	12.3	93%	9	73%
Red Mountain Pass	SNOTEL	11080	3	4.2	71%	4.6	110%	15	15.8	95%	12.5	79%
Spud Mountain	SNOTEL	10674	1.3	4.6	28%	4.7	102%	13	17.2	76%	11.3	66%
Stump Lakes	SNOTEL	11248	1	2.2	45%	3.7	168%	10.7	12.1	88%	9.9	82%
Upper San Juan	SNOTEL	10140	0.8	4.2	19%	5.6	133%	11.3	21.7	52%	14.9	69%
Vallecito	SNOTEL	10782	0.9	2.3	39%	2.6	113%	8.4	12.2	69%	7.8	64%
Weminuche Creek	SNOTEL	10749	1.1	2.6	42%	3.6	138%	9.9	15	66%	10.8	72%
Wolf Creek Summit	SNOTEL	10957	0.7	3.8	18%	4.5	118%	11.2	20.4	55%	12.4	61%
Basin Index					38%		119%			76%		69%
# of sites					12		12			12		12
Zuni-Bluewater	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Rice Park	SNOTEL	8497	0.2	1.4	14%	3.1	221%	4.7	6.8	69%	7.6	112%
Basin Index					14%		221%			69%		112%
# of sites					1		1			1		1


Basinwide Summary: February 1, 2025
(Medians based On 1991-2020 reference period)

			Monthly Total Precipitation For January 2025					Water Year To Date Precipitation through January 2025				
State of New Mexico	Network	Elevation (ft)	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median	Current (in)	Median (in)	% Median	Last Year (in)	Last Year % Median
Bateman	SNOTEL	9249	0.8	2	40%	2.6	130%	5.2	8.6	60%	6.2	72%
Beartown	SNOTEL	11600	1.6	3.2	50%	4.2	131%	14.2	15.6	91%	11.4	73%
Beaver Head	SNOTEL	8076	0			2.1		1			5.6	
Beaver Spring	SNOTEL	9255	0.4	2.4	17%	5.2	217%	3.8	10.7	36%	10.4	97%
Cascade #2	SNOTEL	9012	0.8	2.8	29%	2.7	96%	9.3	11.3	82%	7	62%
Chamita	SNOTEL	8383	0.4	2.2	18%	2.2	100%	4.1	8.3	49%	5.2	63%
Cochetopa Pass	SNOTEL	10061	0.9	0.8	113%	1.4	175%	4.4	4.5	98%	3.5	78%
Columbus Basin	SNOTEL	10781	1.2	4.1	29%	4.2	102%	13.4	18.2	74%	10.2	56%
Coronado Trail	SNOTEL	8418	0.1	1.2	8%	1.7	142%	1.5	6.8	22%	5.3	78%
Culebra #2	SNOTEL	10562	1.8	1.6	113%	1.3	81%	7.1	7.7	92%	4.6	60%
Cumbres Trestle	SNOTEL	10035	1	3.6	28%	4.1	114%	9.1	15.2	60%	9.6	63%
Elk Cabin	SNOTEL	8239	0.8	1.4	57%	2.1	150%	5.4	6.4	84%	7.2	113%
Elwood Pass	SNOTEL	11680	0.8					12.4				
Frisco Divide	SNOTEL	8013	0.2	0.9	22%	1.4	156%	2.3	5.6	41%	4.2	75%
Gallegos Peak	SNOTEL	9480	2	2.1	95%	3.1	148%	9.1	9.2	99%	6.5	71%
Garita Peak	SNOTEL	10115	0.5			2.6		5.9			7.4	
Grayback	SNOTEL	11626	0.9	2.2	41%	1.9	86%	8.4	9.8	86%	7.1	72%
Hannagan Meadows	SNOTEL	9027	0.2	2.2	9%	3.1	141%	1.9	11	17%	8.8	80%
Hayden Pass	SNOTEL	10699	0.9	1.8	50%	2.5	139%	8	8.6	93%	6.6	77%
Hopewell	SNOTEL	10095	0.9	2.9	31%	3.7	128%	6.5	10.8	60%	7.5	69%
Lily Pond	SNOTEL	11069	1.4	2.2	64%	2.2	100%	9.9	11.2	88%	8	71%
Lookout Mountain	SNOTEL	8509	0.5	0.9	56%	1.6	178%	2.8	5.6	50%	4.9	88%
Mancos	SNOTEL	10044	0.8	2.8	29%	2.6	93%	7.7	10.2	75%	7.2	71%
Mcknight Cabin	SNOTEL	9242	0.4	1.2	33%	1.8	150%	2.4	6.4	38%	4.8	75%
Medano Pass	SNOTEL	9668	1.3	1.3	100%	1.6	123%	7.2	6.3	114%	5.2	83%
Middle Creek	SNOTEL	11269	0.7	2.4	29%	2	83%	10.1	15	67%	10.1	67%
Mineral Creek	SNOTEL	10046	1.4	2.6	54%	3.1	119%	11.2	10.8	104%	8.5	79%
Molas Lake	SNOTEL	10631	1.2	3.1	39%	3.6	116%	11.4	12.3	93%	9	73%
Moon Pass	SNOTEL	11128	0.7	1.2	58%	1.3	108%	5.7	5	114%	4.4	88%
Navajo Whiskey Ck	SNOTEL	9064	0.2	2.4	8%	4.3	179%	4.1	8.2	50%	8.5	104%
North Costilla	SNOTEL	10598	1.8	1.4	129%	1.6	114%	8.4	7.7	109%	5.2	68%
Nutrioso	SNOTEL	8571	0.1	0.8	13%	2.2	275%	1.3	4.9	27%	5	102%
Palo	SNOTEL	9343	1	1	100%	1.8	180%	6.6	6.5	102%	4.8	74%
Quemazon	SNOTEL	9507	0.6	1.2	50%	2.3	192%	6.4	8.8	73%	7.6	86%
Rat Creek	SNOTEL	11680	1.2					9.7				
Red Mountain Pass	SNOTEL	11080	3	4.2	71%	4.6	110%	15	15.8	95%	12.5	79%
Red River Pass #2	SNOTEL	9855	1	1.2	83%	1.1	92%	6.7	6.3	106%	3.7	59%
Rice Park	SNOTEL	8497	0.2	1.4	14%	3.1	221%	4.7	6.8	69%	7.6	112%
Rio Santa Barbara	SNOTEL	10664	1.9			3.2		8.4			7.4	
San Antonio Sink	SNOTEL	9143	0.5			1.7		6.9			4.6	
Santa Fe	SNOTEL	11465	1.6	2.4	67%	3.8	158%	6.9	11.3	61%	10.3	91%
Sargents Mesa	SNOTEL	11499	1.2	1.6	75%	3.1	194%	6.6	7.9	84%	6	76%
Senorita Divide #2	SNOTEL	8569	0.6	2	30%	3.6	180%	4.5	9	50%	9.6	107%
Sharkstooth	SNOTEL	10747	0.8	3.2	25%	3.2	100%	10.3	13.8	75%	9.2	67%
Shuree	SNOTEL	10092	1.7	1	170%	1.2	120%	6.7	5.6	120%	3.9	70%
Sierra Blanca	SNOTEL	10268	0.6	1.6	38%	4	250%	7	9.8	71%	9	92%
Signal Peak	SNOTEL	8405	0.3	1.5	20%	3	200%	1.8	9	20%	6	67%
Silver Creek Divide	SNOTEL	9096	0.8	1.8	44%	3.3	183%	2.4	10	24%	9.1	91%
Slumgullion	SNOTEL	11560	1.1	1.8	61%	2	111%	8.5	8.4	101%	7.2	86%
Spud Mountain	SNOTEL	10674	1.3	4.6	28%	4.7	102%	13	17.2	76%	11.3	66%
Stump Lakes	SNOTEL	11248	1	2.2	45%	3.7	168%	10.7	12.1	88%	9.9	82%
Taos Powderhorn	SNOTEL	11045	1.9	2.8	68%	3.6	129%	10.7	12.7	84%	9.6	76%
Taos Pueblo	SNOTEL	11020	1.3			3.7		8.4			8.6	
Tolby	SNOTEL	10220	0.9	1.3	69%	2.3	177%	10.2	8.3	123%	5.2	63%
Tres Ritos	SNOTEL	8755	1.2	1.4	86%	2	143%	9.2	7.4	124%	5.5	74%
Trinchera	SNOTEL	10922	1.8	1.3	138%	1.5	115%	7.6	7	109%	4.3	61%
Upper Rio Grande	SNOTEL	9379	0.1	1	10%	1	100%	6.4	6.6	97%	5.9	89%
Upper San Juan	SNOTEL	10140	0.8	4.2	19%	5.6	133%	11.3	21.7	52%	14.9	69%
Ute Creek	SNOTEL	10734	1.8	1.8	100%	2.7	150%	9	8.6	105%	6.4	74%
Vacas Locas	SNOTEL	9364	0.7	1.9	37%	3.5	184%	4.5	9.3	48%	8.9	96%
Vallecito	SNOTEL	10782	0.9	2.3	39%	2.6	113%	8.4	12.2	69%	7.8	64%
Wager Gulch	SNOTEL	11132	1.3			1.8		9.7			6.3	
Weminuche Creek	SNOTEL	10749	1.1	2.6	42%	3.6	138%	9.9	15	66%	10.8	72%
Wesner Springs	SNOTEL	11151	1.1	2.1	52%	2.8	133%	11.9	11	108%	9.1	83%
Wolf Creek Summit	SNOTEL	10957	0.7	3.8	18%	4.5	118%	11.2	20.4	55%	12.4	61%
Basin Index					46%		134%			74%		75%
# of sites					57		57			57		57

Reservoir Storage

Basin Wide Reservoir Storage
Volumes
Percent NRCS 1991-2020 Median

End of January, 2025



Basinwide Summary: February 1, 2025
(Medians based On 1991-2020 reference period)

Reservoir Storage Summary For the End of January 2025

Canadian	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Median (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)	Current % Capacity	Last Year % Capacity	Median % Capacity	Current % Median	Last Year % Median
Conchas Lake	122.6	60.0	129.5	254.4	48%	24%	51%	95%	46%
Eagle Nest Lake nr Eagle Nest, NM	30.0	35.5	44.8	79.0	38%	45%	57%	67%	79%
Basin Index					46%	29%	52%	88%	55%
# of reservoirs					2	2	2	2	2
Lower Rio Grande	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Median (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)	Current % Capacity	Last Year % Capacity	Median % Capacity	Current % Median	Last Year % Median
Elephant Butte Reservoir	230.9	496.5	553.3	2195.0	11%	23%	25%	42%	90%
McClure Reservoir	1.3	0.2	1.6	3.3	41%	6%	48%	84%	12%
Caballo Reservoir	19.6	11.2	35.3	332.0	6%	3%	11%	55%	32%
Bluewater Lake	10.8	12.6	3.9	38.5	28%	33%	10%	277%	322%
Cochiti Lake	44.5	45.0	50.2	491.0	9%	9%	10%	89%	90%
Basin Index					10%	18%	21%	48%	88%
# of reservoirs					5	5	5	5	5
Pecos	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Median (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)	Current % Capacity	Last Year % Capacity	Median % Capacity	Current % Median	Last Year % Median
Lake Avalon	3.8	2.1	2.3	4.0	96%	54%	58%	167%	93%
Brantley Lake nr Carlsbad	42.6	22.7	26.1	1008.2	4%	2%	3%	163%	87%
Lake Sumner	19.5	21.0	27.5	102.0	19%	21%	27%	71%	76%
Santa Rosa Reservoir	41.4	14.3	51.2	432.2	10%	3%	12%	81%	28%
Basin Index					7%	4%	7%	100%	56%
# of reservoirs					4	4	4	4	4
Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Median (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)	Current % Capacity	Last Year % Capacity	Median % Capacity	Current % Median	Last Year % Median
Nambe Falls Reservoir	1.5	1.4	1.9	1.7	89%	85%	112%	79%	76%
Abiquiu Reservoir	94.4	80.6	159.6	1198.5	8%	7%	13%	59%	50%
Heron Reservoir	60.4	95.9	226.3	400.0	15%	24%	57%	27%	42%
Costilla Reservoir	3.2	4.6	6.0	16.0	20%	29%	38%	54%	76%
El Vado Reservoir	14.6	0.6	71.7	184.8	8%	0%	39%	20%	1%
Basin Index					10%	10%	26%	37%	39%
# of reservoirs					5	5	5	5	5
Rio Grande Headwaters	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Median (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)	Current % Capacity	Last Year % Capacity	Median % Capacity	Current % Median	Last Year % Median
Terrace Reservoir	5.5	5.8	5.2	18.0	30%	32%	29%	105%	111%
Rio Grande Reservoir	26.6	22.2	17.2	51.0	52%	44%	34%	154%	129%
Mountain Home Reservoir	3.6	2.2	2.4	18.0	20%	12%	13%	149%	93%
Santa Maria Reservoir	8.7	9.1	7.8	45.0	19%	20%	17%	112%	117%
Continental Reservoir	14.8	12.6	3.9	27.0	55%	47%	14%	379%	323%
Beaver Reservoir	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.5	79%	81%	91%	87%	89%
La Jara Reservoir	1.9	2.1	1.8					107%	119%
Platoro Reservoir	30.5	32.5	17.2	60.0	51%	54%	29%	177%	189%
Sanchez Reservoir	5.5	6.5	19.4	103.0	5%	6%	19%	28%	34%
Basin Index					30%	29%	24%	127%	122%
# of reservoirs					8	8	8	9	9
San Juan	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Median (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)	Current % Capacity	Last Year % Capacity	Median % Capacity	Current % Median	Last Year % Median
Lemon Reservoir	22.7	15.7	18.5	40.0	57%	39%	46%	123%	85%
Jackson Gulch Reservoir	4.8	4.6	4.0	10.0	48%	46%	40%	120%	114%
Vallecito Reservoir	83.7	63.8	74.0	126.0	66%	51%	59%	113%	86%
Navajo Reservoir	1037.7	1088.0	1311.0	1696.0	61%	64%	77%	79%	83%
Basin Index					61%	63%	75%	82%	83%
# of reservoirs					4	4	4	4	4

Basinwide Summary: February 1, 2025
(Medians based On 1991-2020 reference period)


Reservoir Storage Summary For the End of January 2025

State of New Mexico	Current (KAF)	Last Year (KAF)	Median (KAF)	Capacity (KAF)	Current % Capacity	Last Year % Capacity	Median % Capacity	Current % Median	Last Year % Median
Rio Grande Reservoir	26.6	22.2	17.2	51.0	52%	44%	34%	154%	129%
Beaver Reservoir	3.6	3.6	4.1	4.5	79%	81%	91%	87%	89%
Platoro Reservoir	30.5	32.5	17.2	60.0	51%	54%	29%	177%	189%
Heron Reservoir	60.4	95.9	226.3	400.0	15%	24%	57%	27%	42%
Cochiti Lake	44.5	45.0	50.2	491.0	9%	9%	10%	89%	90%
Costilla Reservoir	3.2	4.6	6.0	16.0	20%	29%	38%	54%	76%
Brantley Lake nr Carlsbad	42.6	22.7	26.1	1008.2	4%	2%	3%	163%	87%
Nambe Falls Reservoir	1.5	1.4	1.9	1.7	89%	85%	112%	79%	76%
Santa Maria Reservoir	8.7	9.1	7.8	45.0	19%	20%	17%	112%	117%
Eagle Nest Lake nr Eagle Nest, NM	30.0	35.5	44.8	79.0	38%	45%	57%	67%	79%
Navajo Reservoir	1037.7	1088.0	1311.0	1696.0	61%	64%	77%	79%	83%
Lake Avalon	3.8	2.1	2.3	4.0	96%	54%	58%	167%	93%
Jackson Gulch Reservoir	4.8	4.6	4.0	10.0	48%	46%	40%	120%	114%
Lemon Reservoir	22.7	15.7	18.5	40.0	57%	39%	46%	123%	85%
Bluewater Lake	10.8	12.6	3.9	38.5	28%	33%	10%	277%	322%
El Vado Reservoir	14.6	0.6	71.7	184.8	8%	0%	39%	20%	1%
Terrace Reservoir	5.5	5.8	5.2	18.0	30%	32%	29%	105%	111%
La Jara Reservoir	1.9	2.1	1.8					107%	119%
McClure Reservoir	1.3	0.2	1.6	3.3	41%	6%	48%	84%	12%
Abiquiu Reservoir	94.4	80.6	159.6	1198.5	8%	7%	13%	59%	50%
Sanchez Reservoir	5.5	6.5	19.4	103.0	5%	6%	19%	28%	34%
Mountain Home Reservoir	3.6	2.2	2.4	18.0	20%	12%	13%	149%	93%
Continental Reservoir	14.8	12.6	3.9	27.0	55%	47%	14%	379%	323%
Conchas Lake	122.6	60.0	129.5	254.4	48%	24%	51%	95%	46%
Elephant Butte Reservoir	230.9	496.5	553.3	2195.0	11%	23%	25%	42%	90%
Caballo Reservoir	19.6	11.2	35.3	332.0	6%	3%	11%	55%	32%
Vallecito Reservoir	83.7	63.8	74.0	126.0	66%	51%	59%	113%	86%
Lake Sumner	19.5	21.0	27.5	102.0	19%	21%	27%	71%	76%
Santa Rosa Reservoir	41.4	14.3	51.2	432.2	10%	3%	12%	81%	28%
Basin Index					22%	24%	32%	69%	76%
# of reservoirs					28	28	28	29	29

Streamflow Forecast Volume,
50% Exceedance Probability

Basin Wide Forecasted Streamflow
Volumes
Percent NRCS 1991-2020 Median

Primary Period,
February 1, 2025



Streamflow Forecast Summary: February 1, 2025
(Medians based On 1991-2020 reference period)

		Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast						
Canadian	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
Vermejo R nr Dawson ²	MAR-JUN	0.6	1.9	3.3	62%	5.7	8.2	5.3
Eagle Nest Reservoir Inflow	MAR-JUN	1.1	2.8	4.8	72%	6.6	9.8	6.7
Ponil Ck nr Cimarron	MAR-JUN	0	1.4	3.7	69%	6.4	11.6	5.4
Rayado Ck nr Cimarron	MAR-JUN	0.6	2	3.2	63%	4.8	6.9	5.1
Cimarron R nr Cimarron	MAR-JUN	1	3.8	7.1	77%	9.9	15	9.2

1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment
Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

Gila-San Francisco	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
San Francisco R at Clifton ²	FEB-MAY	5.5	10.6	12.2	31%	21	38	40
San Francisco R at Glenwood ²	FEB-MAY	1.85	3.5	6.4	42%	10.9	19	15.1
Gila R bl Blue Ck nr Virden	FEB-MAY	6.8	8.3	17	31%	25	49	54
Gila R at Gila	FEB-MAY	7	10.4	11	25%	18.7	33	44

1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment
Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

Lower Rio Grande	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
Santa Fe R nr Santa Fe ²	MAR-JUL	0.2	0.53	1.19	36%	1.84	2.7	3.3
Jemez R bl Jemez Canyon Dam	MAR-JUL	0.85	2.6	5.2	24%	9.8	18.7	22
Rio Grande at San Marcial ²	MAR-JUL	-135	-40	55	16%	155	345	345
Jemez R nr Jemez	MAR-JUL	1.5	4	8.2	28%	12.1	19	29
Mimbres R at Mimbres ²	FEB-MAY	-0.75	0.39	1.4	67%	3	6.1	2.1

1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

Pecos	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
Pecos R nr Pecos ²	MAR-JUL	15	27	35	66%	46	58	53
Pecos R ab Santa Rosa Lk ²	MAR-JUL	4.3	12.3	21	51%	37	59	41
Rio Ruidoso at Hollywood ²	MAR-JUN	0.68	1.22	1.96	58%	3	5.2	3.4
Pecos R nr Anton Chico ²	MAR-JUL	2.5	10.6	25	47%	42	70	53
Gallinas Ck nr Montezuma	MAR-JUL	1.26	3.9	5.9	74%	9.7	14.2	8

1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

Rio Chama-Upper Rio Grande	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
Costilla Reservoir Inflow	MAR-JUL	5	7.5	9.3	90%	11.1	13.1	10.3
Tesuque Ck ab diversions ²	MAR-JUL	0.08	0.3	0.59	52%	0.87	1.3	1.13
Rio Grande at Otowi Bridge ²	MAR-JUL	142	215	290	51%	360	490	565
Costilla Ck nr Costilla	MAR-JUL	6.9	11.3	14.9	68%	19	26	22
Rio Lucero nr Arroyo Seco ²	MAR-JUL	2.1	4	6.1	60%	8.4	10.8	10.1
Nambe Falls Reservoir Inflow ²	MAR-JUL	1.01	2.2	3.1	55%	3.9	4.9	5.6
Santa Cruz R at Cundiyo ²	MAR-JUL	3.2	6.3	8.8	53%	11.4	14.3	16.6
Rio Hondo nr Valdez ²	MAR-JUL	2.7	6	8.8	58%	11.8	16.1	15.1
Embudo Ck at Dixon	MAR-JUL	4.5	13.5	23	72%	34	50	32
Red R bl Fish Hatchery nr Questa	MAR-JUL	5.7	11.9	19.8	64%	25	33	31
El Vado Reservoir Inflow	MAR-JUL	20	45	74	40%	103	158	186
	APR-JUL	15	41	65	39%	93	135	166
Rio Pueblo de Taos bl Los Cordovas ²	MAR-JUL	0.7	3.3	8.1	39%	15.5	32	21
Rio Pueblo de Taos nr Taos ²	MAR-JUL	0.65	2.1	5.9	47%	8.1	12.5	12.5

1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

Rio Grande Headwaters	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
San Antonio R at Ortiz ²	APR-SEP	0.06	1.59	3	31%	4.7	8	9.6
Platoro Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL	17.3	33	41	80%	47	57	51
	APR-SEP	21	38	45	79%	53	63	57
Rio Grande at Wagon Wheel Gap ²	APR-SEP	195	260	310	100%	350	410	310
Los Pinos R nr Ortiz ²	APR-SEP	10.1	22	31	51%	39	50	61
Culebra Ck at San Luis	APR-SEP	7.7	12.8	16.8	101%	22	31	16.7
Ute Ck nr Fort Garland ²	APR-SEP	5.1	7.7	9.1	81%	10.7	12.7	11.3
Rio Grande nr Lobatos ²								
Trinchera Ck ab Turners Ranch ²	APR-SEP	6.1	8.2	9.4	91%	11.8	14.9	10.3
Rio Grande at Thirty Mile Bridge ²	APR-JUL	61	83	98	88%	113	135	111
	APR-SEP	73	97	115	96%	131	162	120
Conejos R nr Mogote	APR-SEP	68	92	108	64%	126	153	168
Saguache Ck nr Saguache ²	APR-SEP	18	26	31	111%	35	42	28
Rio Grande nr Del Norte ²	APR-SEP	270	375	440	92%	500	600	480
SF Rio Grande at South Fork ²	APR-SEP	43	73	89	79%	104	126	112
Alamosa Ck ab Terrace Reservoir	APR-SEP	19.7	38	47	77%	55	67	61
Sangre de Cristo Ck ²	APR-SEP	3.6	6.5	9.1	83%	12.1	18.9	10.9
La Jara Ck nr Capulin	MAR-JUL	1.84	3.5	4.5	58%	5.8	7.4	7.7

1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

San Juan	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
Navajo Reservoir Inflow ²	APR-JUL	220	345	450	71%	565	760	630
Lemon Reservoir Inflow ²	APR-JUL	21	30	37	82%	45	57	45
Captain Tom Wash nr Two Gray Hills	MAR-MAY	0.04	0.15	0.33	53%	0.68	1.86	0.62
Vallecito Reservoir Inflow ²	APR-JUL	89	120	143	85%	169	210	169
Navajo R bl Oso Diversion ²	APR-JUL	17.2	27	34	61%	42	56	56
Mancos R nr Mancos ²	APR-JUL	2.4	5.9	9.1	57%	13.1	20	15.9
San Juan R nr Carracas ²	APR-JUL	90	142	185	55%	235	315	335
Piedra R nr Arboles	APR-JUL	63	97	123	70%	153	200	175
Animas R at Durango	APR-JUL	198	265	315	84%	370	460	375
La Plata R at Hesperus	APR-JUL	6.2	9.8	12.8	68%	16.1	22	18.8
Rio Blanco at Blanco Diversion ²	APR-JUL	15.1	23	29	60%	36	47	48

1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

Zuni	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
Rio Nutria nr Ramah ²	FEB-MAY	0.02	0.07	0.17	27%	0.48	1.4	0.64
Zuni R ab Black Rock Reservoir ²	FEB-MAY	0.01	0.02	0.03	30%	0.14	0.25	0.1

1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

State of New Mexico	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
Navajo Reservoir Inflow ²	APR-JUL	220	345	450	71%	565	760	630
Santa Fe R nr Santa Fe ²	MAR-JUL	0.2	0.53	1.19	36%	1.84	2.7	3.3
Jemez R bl Jemez Canyon Dam	MAR-JUL	0.85	2.6	5.2	24%	9.8	18.7	22
Los Pinos R nr Ortiz ²	APR-SEP	10.1	22	31	51%	39	50	61
Tesuque Ck ab diversions ²	MAR-JUL	0.08	0.3	0.59	52%	0.87	1.3	1.13
Navajo R bl Oso Diversion ²	APR-JUL	17.2	27	34	61%	42	56	56
Vallecito Reservoir Inflow ²	APR-JUL	89	120	143	85%	169	210	169
Lemon Reservoir Inflow ²	APR-JUL	21	30	37	82%	45	57	45
Vermejo R nr Dawson ²	MAR-JUN	0.6	1.9	3.3	62%	5.7	8.2	5.3
Trinchera Ck ab Turners Ranch ²	APR-SEP	6.1	8.2	9.4	91%	11.8	14.9	10.3
Rio Grande at Thirty Mile Bridge ²	APR-JUL	61	83	98	88%	113	135	111
	APR-SEP	73	97	115	96%	131	162	120
Santa Cruz R at Cundiyo ²	MAR-JUL	3.2	6.3	8.8	53%	11.4	14.3	16.6
San Francisco R at Clifton ²	FEB-MAY	5.5	10.6	12.2	31%	21	38	40
Rio Grande at San Marcial ²	MAR-JUL	-135	-40	55	16%	155	345	345
Rio Grande nr Del Norte ²	APR-SEP	270	375	440	92%	500	600	480
Alamosa Ck ab Terrace Reservoir	APR-SEP	19.7	38	47	77%	55	67	61
Rayado Ck nr Cimarron	MAR-JUN	0.6	2	3.2	63%	4.8	6.9	5.1
Mimbres R at Mimbres ²	FEB-MAY	-0.75	0.39	1.4	67%	3	6.1	2.1
La Jara Ck nr Capulin	MAR-JUL	1.84	3.5	4.5	58%	5.8	7.4	7.7

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

State of New Mexico (continued)	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
San Antonio R at Ortiz ²	APR-SEP	0.06	1.59	3	31%	4.7	8	9.6
Costilla Ck nr Costilla	MAR-JUL	6.9	11.3	14.9	68%	19	26	22
Rio Lucero nr Arroyo Seco ²	MAR-JUL	2.1	4	6.1	60%	8.4	10.8	10.1
San Juan R nr Carracas ²	APR-JUL	90	142	185	55%	235	315	335
Saguache Ck nr Saguache ²	APR-SEP	18	26	31	111%	35	42	28
Ponil Ck nr Cimarron	MAR-JUN	0	1.4	3.7	69%	6.4	11.6	5.4
Rio Hondo nr Valdez ²	MAR-JUL	2.7	6	8.8	58%	11.8	16.1	15.1
Rio Ruidoso at Hollywood ²	MAR-JUN	0.68	1.22	1.96	58%	3	5.2	3.4
Zuni R ab Black Rock Reservoir ²	FEB-MAY	0.01	0.02	0.03	30%	0.14	0.25	0.1
Animas R at Durango	APR-JUL	198	265	315	84%	370	460	375
Red R bl Fish Hatchery nr Questa	MAR-JUL	5.7	11.9	19.8	64%	25	33	31
Jemez R nr Jemez	MAR-JUL	1.5	4	8.2	28%	12.1	19	29
Rio Pueblo de Taos bl Los Cordovas ²	MAR-JUL	0.7	3.3	8.1	39%	15.5	32	21
Rio Nutria nr Ramah ²	FEB-MAY	0.02	0.07	0.17	27%	0.48	1.4	0.64
Gila R at Gila	FEB-MAY	7	10.4	11	25%	18.7	33	44
Captain Tom Wash nr Two Gray Hills	MAR-MAY	0.04	0.15	0.33	53%	0.68	1.86	0.62
Pecos R nr Pecos ²	MAR-JUL	15	27	35	66%	46	58	53
Costilla Reservoir Inflow	MAR-JUL	5	7.5	9.3	90%	11.1	13.1	10.3
Rio Grande at Wagon Wheel Gap ²	APR-SEP	195	260	310	100%	350	410	310
Rio Grande at Otowi Bridge ²	MAR-JUL	142	215	290	51%	360	490	565
Rio Grande nr Lobatos ²								
Mancos R nr Mancos ²	APR-JUL	2.4	5.9	9.1	57%	13.1	20	15.9
Sangre de Cristo Ck ²	APR-SEP	3.6	6.5	9.1	83%	12.1	18.9	10.9
Nambe Falls Reservoir Inflow ²	MAR-JUL	1.01	2.2	3.1	55%	3.9	4.9	5.6
Eagle Nest Reservoir Inflow	MAR-JUN	1.1	2.8	4.8	72%	6.6	9.8	6.7
Piedra R nr Arboles	APR-JUL	63	97	123	70%	153	200	175
La Plata R at Hesperus	APR-JUL	6.2	9.8	12.8	68%	16.1	22	18.8
Gallinas Ck nr Montezuma	MAR-JUL	1.26	3.9	5.9	74%	9.7	14.2	8

Forecast Exceedance Probabilities For Risk Assessment Chance that actual volume will exceed forecast

State of New Mexico (continued)	Forecast Period	90% (KAF)	70% (KAF)	50% (KAF)	% Median	30% (KAF)	10% (KAF)	30yr Median (KAF)
Platoro Reservoir Inflow	APR-JUL	17.3	33	41	80%	47	57	51
	APR-SEP	21	38	45	79%	53	63	57
Culebra Ck at San Luis	APR-SEP	7.7	12.8	16.8	101%	22	31	16.7
Gila R bl Blue Ck nr Virden	FEB-MAY	6.8	8.3	17	31%	25	49	54
Ute Ck nr Fort Garland ²	APR-SEP	5.1	7.7	9.1	81%	10.7	12.7	11.3
Pecos R ab Santa Rosa Lk ²	MAR-JUL	4.3	12.3	21	51%	37	59	41
Conejos R nr Mogote	APR-SEP	68	92	108	64%	126	153	168
San Francisco R at Glenwood ²	FEB-MAY	1.85	3.5	6.4	42%	10.9	19	15.1
SF Rio Grande at South Fork ²	APR-SEP	43	73	89	79%	104	126	112
Cimarron R nr Cimarron	MAR-JUN	1	3.8	7.1	77%	9.9	15	9.2
Embudo Ck at Dixon	MAR-JUL	4.5	13.5	23	72%	34	50	32
El Vado Reservoir Inflow	MAR-JUL	20	45	74	40%	103	158	186
	APR-JUL	15	41	65	39%	93	135	166
Pecos R nr Anton Chico ²	MAR-JUL	2.5	10.6	25	47%	42	70	53
Rio Pueblo de Taos nr Taos ²	MAR-JUL	0.65	2.1	5.9	47%	8.1	12.5	12.5
Rio Blanco at Blanco Diversion ²	APR-JUL	15.1	23	29	60%	36	47	48


1) 90% And 10% exceedance probabilities are actually 95% And 5%

2) Forecasts are For unimpaired flows. Actual flow will be dependent On management of upstream reservoirs And diversions


RIO GRANDE HEADWATERS

Water Supply Forecasts

February 1, 2025



Legend




Some forecasts may be for volumes that are regulated or influenced by diversions and water management.


RIO CHAMA-UPPER RIO GRANDE

Water Supply Forecasts


February 1, 2025



Legend




When selected, the following historic streamflow values and statistics will be shown.





Some forecasts may be for volumes that are regulated or influenced by diversions and water management.

LOWER RIO GRANDE Water Supply Forecasts February 1, 2025




Legend





Some forecasts may be for volumes that are regulated or influenced by diversions and water management.

CANADIAN **Water Supply Forecasts** **February 1, 2025**




Legend





Some forecasts may be for volumes that are regulated or influenced by diversions and water management.

PECOS **Water Supply Forecasts** **February 1, 2025**




Legend




Some forecasts may be for volumes that are regulated or influenced by diversions and water management.


SAN JUAN **Water Supply Forecasts** **February 1, 2025**



Legend



When selected, the following historic streamflow values and statistics will be shown.




Some forecasts may be for volumes that are regulated or influenced by diversions and water management.


GILA-SAN FRANCISCO

Water Supply Forecasts

February 1, 2025



Legend



When selected, the following historic streamflow values and statistics will be shown.

Period of Record Minimum
Streamflow KAF (Year)

1991-2020 Normal
Streamflow KAF

Observed Streamflow KAF


Period of Record Maximum
Streamflow KAF (Year)

Some forecasts may be for volumes that are regulated or influenced by diversions and water management.



ZUNI

Water Supply Forecasts

February 1, 2025



Legend



Some forecasts may be for volumes that are regulated or influenced by diversions and water management.

NEW MEXICO WATER SUPPLY OUTLOOK REPORT

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Albuquerque, New Mexico

Issued by:

Louis Aspey
Acting Chief
Natural Resources Conservation Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture

Released by:

J. Xavier Montoya
State Conservationist
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Prepared by:

Jaz Ammon
Water Supply Specialist (Hydrologic Technician- Snow Survey)
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Reviewed by:

Richard Strait
State Soil Scientist
Natural Resources Conservation Service
Albuquerque, New Mexico

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at [How to File a Program Discrimination Complaint](#) and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by:

- (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410;*
- (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or*
- (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.*

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.